#### ICB – Board Assurance Framework (BAF) Quarter 3 2024/25

#### The purpose of the Derby and Derbyshire Integrated Care System is to:

- 1. Improve outcomes in population health and healthcare.
- 2. Tackle inequalities in outcomes, experience, and access.
- 3. Enhance productivity and value for money.
- 4. Help the NHS support broader social and economic development.

#### The 2024/25 Strategic Aims of Derby and Derbyshire Integrated Care Board are:

- 1. To improve overall health outcomes including life expectancy and healthy life expectancy rates for people (adults and children) living in Derby and Derbyshire.
- 2. To improve health and care gaps currently experienced in the population and ensure best value, improve productivity and financial sustainability of health and care services across Derby and Derbyshire.
- 3. Reduce inequalities in health and be an active partner in addressing the wider determinants of health.

The key elements of the BAF are:

- A description of each Strategic Risk, that forms the basis of the ICB's risk framework
- Risk ratings initial, current (residual), tolerable and target levels •
- Clear identification of strategic threats and opportunities that are considered likely to increase or reduce the Strategic Risk ٠
- Key elements of the risk treatment strategy identified for each threat and opportunity, each assigned to an executive lead and individually rated by the lead committee for the level of assurance they can take that the • strategy will be effective in treating the risk (see below for key)
- Sources of assurance incorporate the three lines of defence: (1) Management (those responsible for the area reported on); (2) Risk and compliance functions (internal but independent of the area reported on); and (3) Independent assurance (Internal audit and other external assurance providers)
- Clearly identified gaps in the control framework, with details of planned responses each assigned to a member of the Senior Leadership Team (SLT) with agreed timescales.

Green = Assured: the Committee is satisfied that there is reliable evidence of the appropriateness of the current risk treatment strategy in addressing the threat or opportunity       Impact       Impact	Key to lead committee assurance ratings:			Risl	<pre>c scoring = l</pre>
<ul> <li>no gaps in assurance or control AND current exposure risk rating = target OR</li> <li>gaps in control and assurance are being addressed, in a timely way.</li> <li>Amber = Partially assured: the Committee is not satisfied that there is sufficient evidence to be able to make a judgement as to the appropriateness of the current risk treatment strategy</li> <li>Red = Not assured: the Committee is not satisfied that there is sufficient reliable evidence that the current risk treatment strategy</li> <li>Red = Not assured: the Committee is not satisfied that there is sufficient reliable evidence that the current risk treatment strategy</li> <li>This approach informs the agenda and regular management information received by the relevant lead committees, to enable them to make informed judgements as to the level of assurance that they can take and which can then be provided to the</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>gaps in control and assurance are being addressed, in a timely way.</li> <li>Amber = Partially assured: the Committee is not satisfied that there is sufficient evidence to be able to make a judgement as to the appropriateness of the current risk treatment strategy</li> <li>Red = Not assured: the Committee is not satisfied that there is sufficient reliable evidence that the current risk treatment strategy is appropriate to the nature and/or scale of the threat or opportunity</li> <li>This approach informs the agenda and regular management information received by the relevant lead committees, to enable them to make informed judgements as to the level of assurance that they can take and which can then be provided to the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>no gaps in assurance or control AND current exposure risk rating =</li> </ul>		Impact	1	2
Amber = Partially assured: the Committee is not satisfied that there is sufficient evidence to be able to make a judgement as to the appropriateness of the current risk treatment strategy       5       Catastrophic       5       10         Amber = Partially assured: the Committee is not satisfied that there is sufficient reliable evidence that the current risk treatment strategy       4       Major       4       8         Red = Not assured: the Committee is not satisfied that there is sufficient reliable evidence that the current risk treatment strategy is appropriate to the nature and/or scale of the threat or opportunity       3       Moderate       3       6         This approach informs the agenda and regular management information received by the relevant lead committees, to enable them to make informed judgements as to the level of assurance that they can take and which can then be provided to the       2       Minor       2       4				Rare	Unlikely
as to the appropriateness of the current risk treatment strategy       4       Major       4       8         Red = Not assured: the Committee is not satisfied that there is sufficient reliable evidence that the current risk treatment strategy is appropriate to the nature and/or scale of the threat or opportunity       3       Moderate       3       6         This approach informs the agenda and regular management information received by the relevant lead committees, to enable them to make informed judgements as to the level of assurance that they can take and which can then be provided to the       4       Minor       2       4	<ul> <li>gaps in control and assurance are being addressed, in a timely way.</li> </ul>	5	Catastrophic	5	10
Red = Not assured: the Committee is not satisfied that there is sufficient reliable evidence that the current risk treatment strategy is appropriate to the nature and/or scale of the threat or opportunity       3       Moderate       3       6         This approach informs the agenda and regular management information received by the relevant lead committees, to enable them to make informed judgements as to the level of assurance that they can take and which can then be provided to the       3       Moderate       3       6					
strategy is appropriate to the nature and/or scale of the threat or opportunity This approach informs the agenda and regular management information received by the relevant lead committees, to enable them to make informed judgements as to the level of assurance that they can take and which can then be provided to the	as to the appropriateness of the current risk treatment strategy	4	Major	4	8
them to make informed judgements as to the level of assurance that they can take and which can then be provided to the		3	Moderate	3	6
		2	Minor	2	4
		1	Negligible	1	2

## Joined Up Care Derbyshire

Probability x Impact (P x I)

	Probability								
	3	4	5						
y	Possible	Likely	Almost certain						
	15	20	25						
	12	16	20						
	9	12	15						
	6	8	10						
	3	4	5						

Reference	Strategic risk	Responsible committee	Executive lead	Last reviewed	Target risk score	Previous risk score	Current risk score	Tolerance score	Movement in risk score	Overall Assurance rating
SR1	There is a risk that increasing need for healthcare intervention is not met in the most appropriate and timely way and inadequate capacity impacts the ability of the NHS in Derby and Derbyshire and upper tier Councils to delivery consistently safe services with appropriate levels of care.	Quality & Performance	Prof Dean Howells	27.02.2025	8	16	16	12	$ \Longleftrightarrow $	Partially Assured
SR2	There is a risk that short term operational needs hinder the pace and scale required for the system to achieve the long term strategic objectives to reduce health inequalities, improve health outcomes and life expectancy.	Population Health & Strategic Commissioning Committee	Dr Chris Weiner	13.02.2025	10	16	16	12	$ \Longleftrightarrow $	Partially Assured
SR3	There is a risk that the population is not sufficiently engaged and able to influence the design and development of services, leading to inequitable access to care and poorer health outcomes.	Public Partnership Committee	Helen Dillistone	31.01.2025	9	12	12	12	$ \Longleftrightarrow $	Adequate
SR4	There is a risk that the NHS in Derbyshire is unable to reduce costs and improve productivity to enable the ICB to move into a sustainable financial position and achieve best value from the £3.4bn available funding.	Finance, Estates and Digital Committee	Claire Finn	25.02.2025	9	20	20	12	$ \longleftrightarrow $	Adequate
SR5	There is a risk that the system is not able to maintain an affordable and sustainable workforce supply pipeline and to retain staff through a positive staff experience.	People & Culture Committee	Lee Radford	27.02.2024	16	16	16	16	$ \Longleftrightarrow $	Partially Assured
SR7	There is a risk that decisions and actions taken by individual organisations are not aligned with the strategic aims of the system, impacting on the scale of transformation and change required.	Population Health & Strategic Commissioning Committee	Michelle Arrowsmith	13.02.2025	9	12	12	12	$ \Longleftrightarrow $	Partially Assured
SR8	There is a risk that the system does not establish intelligence and analytical solutions to support effective decision making.	Population Health & Strategic Commissioning Committee	Dr Chris Weiner	13.02.2025	8	12	12	12		Partially Assured
SR10	There is a risk that the system does not identify, prioritise and adequately resource digital transformation in order to improve outcomes and enhance efficiency.	Finance, Estates and Digital Committee	Andrew Fearn	25.02.2025	9	12	12	12	$ \Longleftrightarrow $	Adequate
SR11	There is a risk that the core patient care and business functions of Derbyshire system partners could be compromised or unavailable if there were a successful cyber-attack/disruption, resulting in threats to patient care and safety, and loss or exploitation of personal patient information, amongst others.	Audit and Governance Committee	Dr Chris Weiner	26.02.2025	9	NEW RISK	20	15	NEW RISK	Partially Assured

## Item 135 - Appendix 2 ICB – Board Assurance Framework (BAF)

Strategic Risk SR1 – Quality and Performance Committee

	rove overall health outcomes cy and healthy life expectancy	Committee overall	assurance level	Partially A	Assured				
rates for people (adults and Derbyshire.	and children) living in Derby	ICB Lead: Prof Dean Howells, Chief Nursing Officer ICB Chair :Adedeji Okubadejo, Chair of Quality & Pe Committee					17.11.	Dr Date of identification: 17.11.2022 Date of last review: 27.02.20	
Strategic risk	There is a rick that increas		Risk appetite: targe	t toloranco		Committee	Initial	Current	Target
(what could prevent us achieving this strategic objective)	There is a risk that increa healthcare intervention is most appropriate and tim inadequate capacity impa the NHS in Derby and Der upper tier Councils to del safe services with approp care.	not met in the ely way and octs the ability o byshire and bo iver consistent	TOLERABLE LEVEL OF RISK as agreed by committee f th	18         16         14         12         10         8         6         4         2         0	Strategic Risk 1 May-24 Jun-24 Jul-24 Aug-24 Sep-24 Oct-24 J	Nov-24 Dec-24	20	16	8
					Current risk level — — Tolerable risk level •••••• Targ	et risk level			
Strategic threats (what	might cause this risk to materialise)			Impact (what	at are the impacts of each of the strategic threa	ats)			
<ol> <li>Lack of system owne Councils</li> <li>Ineffective Commissi</li> </ol>	o improve healthcare intervention rship and capacity by the Integrated oning of services across Derby and and safety due to the significant fir System Controls (what controls/ syst	Derbyshire ancial constraints ac		<ol> <li>Lack of c the object</li> <li>Inability f</li> <li>Inability f</li> </ol>	gence and data to support the improvement h clarity of direction and expectations, with all pa ctives to deliver safe services and appropriate standa to deliver safe services and appropriate standa System Sources of Assurance (Evidence	rts of the syste ards of care acr ards of care wit	m identifying ross Derbyshi hin organisati	re	JUCD
	processes do we already have in place to as managing the risk and reducing the likeliho of the threat)	sist us in Ref No	/ issues where further work is requ manage the risk to accepted appet level)	ired to ite/tolerance	that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective – management, risk and compliance, external)	Ref No	areas / issues wi	nere further work i to accepted appet	s required to
Threat 1 Lack of timely data to improve healthcare intervention	<ul> <li>Derbyshire ICS Integrated Qua Performance Report has been and is reported and managed System Quality and Performar Committee monthly. These wil highlight areas of significant co</li> <li>System Deep Dives provide fu assurance at the Quality and Performance Committee. Deep are identified where there is la performance/ or celebration of performance</li> <li>The Integrated Assurance and Performance Report has been developed and is reported to p ICB Board bimonthly. Specific focuses on Quality.</li> <li>Health inequalities programme supported by the strategic inte function of the ICS, the anchor institution and the plans for da</li> </ul>	refined by the ce oncern. ther o dives ck of good ublic section of work nt	Intelligence and evidence a to understand health inequa make decisions and review progress. Plan for data and digital ne developed further. Lack of real time data colle Requirement for streamlinin and Digital needs of all Par (Including LA's). CQC unannounced visit to Unit (DHCFT), resulted in S notice and restrictions on fe admissions to wards 33 and	are required alities, ICS ed to be ctions. ng Data tners Radbourne Section 31 emale	<ul> <li>Quality and Performance Committee assurance to the ICB Board via the Assurance Report and Integrated Quality Assurance and Performance Report.</li> <li>System Quality Group assurance to the Quality and Performance Committee and ICB Board.</li> <li>System Quality Group assurance on System risks and ICB Risks.</li> <li>Monthly reporting provided to ICB/ ICS Executive Team/ ICB Board and NHSE.</li> <li>Agreed ICB Quality Risk escalation Policy.</li> <li>Risk Escalations from SQG to Q&amp;P.</li> <li>Quality and Safety Forum provides assurance into the System Quality Group and meets bi-monthly. This provides the detailed sense check of reporting.</li> </ul>		The Integrate Performance	ed Assurance a Report is in p e developed fu CB Board.	lace and will

# Joined Up Care Derbyshire

ng Officer, D Imittee	17.1	Date of identification: 17.11.2022 Date of last review: 27.02.2025					
	Initial	Current	Target				
Dec-24	20	16	8				
care intervention the system identifying their own role in achieving							

Threat status	System Controls (what controls/ systems & processes do we already have in place to assist us in managing the risk and reducing the likelihood/ impact of the threat)	Control Ref No	System Gaps in control (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)	System Sources of Assurance (Evidence that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective – management, risk and compliance, external)	Assur Ref No
	<ul> <li>digital management. This reports to the PHSCC.</li> <li>Maternity surveillance from NHSE</li> <li>Maternity surveillance is ongoing and being jointly led by Dean Howells and Nina Morgan (Regional Chief Nurse).</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>Recovery Action Plan submitted at the LDA Mental Health Delivery Board.</li> <li>Maternity Reporting into the Local Maternity and Neo natal System (LMNS).</li> <li>Reporting against annual plan and operational plan through Q&amp;P and Integrated Assurance and Performance Report which is reported to ICB Board.</li> <li>Deep dive on Maternity to be undertaken at Quality &amp; Performance Committee.</li> <li>CQC Maternity Report at CRH and UHDB.</li> <li>UHDB Maternity Care Assurance Report was presented to the ICB public meeting Jan 24.</li> <li>ICB Board public meeting recorded and available in the public domain.</li> <li>Integrated Care Strategy in place</li> </ul>	
Threat 2 Lack of system ownership and capacity by the Integrated Care Partnership (ICP) and County and City Councils	<ul> <li>Agreed System Quality infrastructure in place across Derbyshire</li> <li>Agreed System Quality and Performance Dashboard to include inequality measures</li> <li>Agreed NHSE Core20PLUS5 Improvement approach to support the reduction of health inequalities.</li> <li>ICB Board and Derbyshire Trusts approved and committed to the delivery of the Derbyshire ICS Green Plan.</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>County and City Health and Wellbeing Boards support the delivery of the Health Inequalities Strategy and Plan.</li> <li>Agreed Core20PLUS5 approach across Derbyshire.</li> <li>Agreed Derby and Derby City Air Quality Strategy.</li> </ul>	
Threat 3 Ineffective Commissioning of services across Derby and Derbyshire	<ul> <li>Derbyshire Cost Improvement Programme (CIP) in progress and Service Benefit Reviews challenge process is in place to support efficiencies.</li> <li>Agreed Prioritisation tool is in place.</li> <li>Population Health Strategic Commissioning Committee providing clinical oversight of commissioning and decommissioning decisions.</li> <li>Robust system QEIA process for commissioning/ decommissioning schemes</li> <li>Agreed targeted Engagement Strategy – to implement engagement element of Comms &amp; Engagement strategy.</li> <li>Robust Citizen engagement across Derbyshire and reported through Public Partnerships Committee.</li> </ul>	1T3.2C	Increase Patient Experience feedback and engagement.	<ul> <li>assurance to the ICB Board via the Assurance Report and Integrated Performance Report.</li> <li>Population Health Strategic Commissioning Committee assurance to the ICB Board via the Assurance Report.</li> <li>System Quality Group assurance to the Quality and Performance Committee and ICB Board.</li> <li>System Quality Group assurance on System risks and ICB Risks</li> <li>Public Partnerships Committee Public assurance to ICB Board.</li> <li>NHSE Assurance Reviews and Assurance Letters provide evidence of compliance and any areas of concern.</li> <li>Winter Plan in place.</li> </ul>	
Threat 4 Risk to clinical quality and safety due to the significant financial	<ul> <li>Robust system QEIA process for commissioning/ decommissioning schemes</li> <li>Joint Forward Plan in place.</li> </ul>			QEIA report to the Quality & Safety Forum with escalation to System Quality Group as appropriate. Mental Health LD&A Quality sub-group also	1T4.1 <i>A</i>

significant financial• Joint Forward Plan in place.Key: All assurances are classified as internal assurances unless specified as an External Assurance (EA) All assurances are classified as positive assurance unless specified as a Negative Assurance (NA)

urance No	System Gaps in Assurance (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)
1AS	Not currently using SPCC across the
	system to allow effective analysis of performance data to identify trends relating to quality and clinical safety.

Threat status	System Controls (what controls/ systems & processes do we already have in place to assist us in managing the risk and reducing the likelihood/ impact of the threat)	Control Ref No		System Sources of Assurance (Evidence that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective – management, risk and compliance, external)	System Gaps in Assurance (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)
constraints across all partners within JUCD	Local Authority and ICB Public consultation processes where significant service change is planned due to system financial constraints.	1T4.2C	Introduction of Statistical Process Control Charts (SPCC) to system performance reporting.	<ul> <li>receives the report with escalation to Mental Health LD&amp;A Delivery Board.</li> <li>JFP progress against delivery for am a quality and clinical safety perspective is via by the Integrated Quality Assurance report to Quality and Performance Committee.</li> </ul>	

#### Actions to treat threat

Threat	Action ref	Action	Control/ Assurance	Action Owner	Due Date	Has work started?	Committee level of assurance (eg assured, pa assured)	rtially assured, not
			Ref No				Committee/Sub Group Assurance	Committee level of assurance
Threat 1 -	1T1.1A	Operation Periscope update was presented at November 2024 Quality and Performance Committee. Soft launch of Operation Periscope presented at all Staff Team Talk 10.12.24. The final version will be launched in January 2025.	1T1.1C 1T1.2C 1T1.3C 1T1.4C	Dr Chris Weiner	Quarter 4 2024/25	In progress	Population Health and Strategic Commissioning Committee November 2024 Quality and Performance Committee	Partially assured
	1T1.6A	Work continues on scoping the first draft of the ICB Performance Management Improvement Framework. This is in development. Engagement and consultation is expected to commence in quarter 4.	1T1.1AS	Michelle Arrowsmith	Quarter 1 2025/26	In progress	Quality and Performance Committee, ICB Board, System Quality Group	Partially assured
	1T1.7A	NOF meetings are ongoing DHFT have received the draft CQC report and reviewing for accuracy.	1T1.5C	Prof Dean Howells	March 2025	In progress	<ul> <li>Quality and Performance Committee</li> <li>Derbyshire Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust Executive weekly oversight meeting</li> <li>Nursing and Quality Attendance at DHCFT CQC Exec oversight meeting</li> <li>Nursing and Quality Attendance at DHCFT Quality Attendance at DHCFT Quality and Safeguarding Committee</li> <li>Clinical Quality Reference Group (CQRG) monthly</li> </ul>	Partially assured
Threat 3	1T3.1A	Development of Patient Experience Plan Joint strategy expected to be completed by January 2025.	1T3.2C	Prof Dean Howells	January 2025	In progress	System Quality Group Public Partnerships Committee	Partially assured
Threat 4	1T4.2A	Operation Periscope update was presented at November 2024 Quality and Performance Committee. Soft launch of Operation Periscope presented at all Staff Team Talk 10.12.24. The final version will be launched in January 2025.	1T4.1AS	Dr Chris Weiner	Quarter 1 2025/26	In progress	Quality and Performance Committee November 2024	Partially assured

#### Strategic Risk SR2 – Population Health and Strategic Commissioning Committee

Strategic Aim – To improve overall health outcomes including life expectancy and healthy life expectancy		Committee overall a	ssurance level	Partially	Partially Assured			
rates for people (adults and Derbyshire.	s and children) living in Derby		Veiner, ICB Chief Medical Off Gildea, Interim Chair of PHS		System lead:-Dr Chris Weiner, ICB Chie System forum: Population Health and S Commissioning Committee			
Strategic risk (what could prevent us achieving this strategic objective)       There is a risk that short term hinder the pace and scale red to achieve the long term strate reduce health inequalities, im outcomes and life expectancy		uired for the system egic objectives to prove health		18         16         14         12         10         8         6         4         2         0	e and current score Strategic Risk 2			
<ol> <li>Lack of system owner</li> <li>The ICS short term r</li> <li>The breadth of require (financial/capacity) a</li> </ol>	might cause this risk to materialise) ership and collaboration needs are not clearly determined rements on the system outstrips/sur nd coordination across the system to not engage with prevention program	owards reducing health		<ol> <li>No intel</li> <li>Lack of the obje</li> <li>Delay o focusing services</li> </ol>	Current risk level — Tolerable risk level Targen Targen and the impacts of each of the strategic three lligence and data to support the improvement has a clarity of direction and expectations, with all para ectives or non-delivery of the health inequalities program g on a small number of priority areas where the s and appropriate standards of care. pulation are not able to access support to impro-	ats) nealtho arts of mme. e ICS o		
Threat status	System Controls (what controls/ syst processes do we already have in place to as managing the risk and reducing the likelihor of the threat)	ssist us in Ref No	System Gaps in control (st / issues where further work is required manage the risk to accepted appetit level)	ired to	System Sources of Assurance (Evidence that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective – management, risk and compliance, external)	Ass Ref		
Threat 1 Lack of system ownership and collaboration	<ul> <li>JUCD Transformation Co-ordin Group has responsibility for de transformation plans across sy</li> <li>Provider Collaborative Leaders Board overseeing Delivery Boa other delivery groups.</li> <li>System Delivery Boards provid mechanism to share decisions challenge actions enhancing transparency and shared understanding of impact.</li> <li>All Providers are undertaking of harm reviews linked to long wa lists and waits at the Emergen Department. Tier 1 oversight i place for UHDB and processes place.</li> </ul>	elivery of vstem. ship ards and 2T1.2C de a and 2T1.3C clinical aiting cy s in 2T1.4C	Intelligence and evidence to understand health inequaliti decisions and review ICS p In some cases, the 'scope' Delivery Board focus is not broad enough to tackle the of problems. Level of maturity of Delivery and PCLB. Increasing maturity of the IC	ies, make rogress. of System sufficiently root cause / Boards	<ul> <li>Quality and Performance Committee assurance to the ICB Board via the Assurance Report and Integrated Performance Report.</li> <li>System Quality Group assurance to the Quality and Performance Committee and ICB Board.</li> <li>System Quality Group assurance on System risks and ICB risks.</li> <li>Monthly reporting provided to ICB/ ICS Executive Team/ ICB Board and NHSE</li> <li>Consistent management reporting across the system to be agreed</li> <li>NHS Executive Team established and functioning</li> <li>NHSE Assurance Reviews and Assurance Letters provide evidence of compliance and any areas of concern.</li> </ul>	2T1.		

## Joined Up Care Derbyshire

ical Officer ic	22.01.	of identification 2025 of last review	
	Initial	Current	Target
Dec-24	20	16	10

care intervention f the system identifying their own role in achieving

The ICS fails to make any impact rather than can make an impact **and inability to deliver safe** 

ealth.

urance No	System Gaps in Assurance (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)
.1AS	The Integrated Performance Report will continue to be developed further as reported to ICB Board.

Threat status	System Controls (what controls/ systems & processes do we already have in place to assist us in managing the risk and reducing the likelihood/ impact of the threat)	Control Ref No	System Gaps in control (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)	System Sources of Assurance (Evidence that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective – management, risk and compliance, external)	Assu Ref N
				<ul> <li>(EA)</li> <li>Quality sub group of MHLDA Delivery Board established. Regular Integrated Assurance report is in place and reported to the Delivery Board.</li> <li>UEC Board include Quality as a regular agenda item.</li> <li>Children and Young Peoples Board are looking at the model of either Quality sub group or a regular agenda item. In line with the Chairs of the Delivery Groups now being Chaired by ICB Executives, the Associate Director of Mental Health, Learning Disability, Autism and Childrens Commissioning is currently undertaking a review of all Delivery Board sub-groups.</li> </ul>	
<u>Threat 2</u> The ICS short term needs are not clearly determined	<ul> <li>ICS 5 Year Strategy sets out the short- term priorities</li> <li>ICB Strategic Objectives</li> <li>System planning &amp; co-ordination group managing overall approach to planning</li> <li>Agreed Commissioning Intentions in place</li> </ul>	2T2.1C 2T2.2C	Commissioning to focus on patient cohorts, with measures around services to be put in place to support reduction of inequalities. Increase Patient Experience feedback and engagement.	<ul> <li>The ICB Board Development Sessions provide dedicated time to agree ICB/ ICS Priorities.</li> <li>ICB Board agreement of Strategic Objectives</li> </ul>	
Threat 3 The breadth of requirements on the system outstrips/surpasses our ability to prioritise our resources (financial/capacity) and coordination across the system towards reducing health inequalities.	<ul> <li>Agreed System dashboard to include inequality measures</li> <li>County and City Health and Wellbeing Boards support the delivery of the Health Inequalities Strategy and Plan.</li> <li>Robust Citizen engagement across Derbyshire</li> <li>Core 20 Plus 5 work programme.</li> <li>Programme approach in place in key areas of transformation to support 'system think' via system-wide cost: impact analysis inclusive of access and inequality considerations</li> <li>System-wide EQIA process supports identification of equalities risks and mitigations and reduces risk of projects/ programmes operating in isolation – and specifically decommissioning decisions</li> <li>Ambulance handover action plan developed – improvement trajectory agreed with NHSI – monthly improvement trajectories monitored at Boards.</li> </ul>	9T1.2C 9T1.4C	Capacity to support strategy and its delivery. Under performance against key national targets and standards (Core 20 Plus 5 work programme)	<ul> <li>County and City Health and Wellbeing Boards support the delivery of the Health Inequalities Strategy and Plan.</li> <li>Delivery Boards remit to ensure work programme supports health inequalities.</li> <li>Integrated Care Partnership Board in place.</li> <li>Measurement of relationship in the system: embedding culture of partnership across partners</li> <li>PHSCC assurance to the ICB Board via the Assurance Report and Integrated Performance Report.</li> <li>System Delivery Board</li> <li>Provider Collaborative Leadership Board</li> <li>Health and Well Being Board</li> <li>Workforce resilience</li> <li>Audit and Governance Committee oversight and scrutiny</li> <li>Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee (HOSC)</li> <li>EDI Committee reporting</li> <li>Derbyshire ICS Greener Delivery Group</li> <li>Integrated Care Strategy</li> <li>Joint Forward Plan, Derby and Derbyshire NHS Five Year Plan 23/24</li> </ul>	2T3.1 9T1.1

surance f No	System Gaps in Assurance (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)
3.1AS	<ul> <li>Public Health Summary Report to be developed and report into Quality &amp; Performance Committee.</li> </ul>
1.1AS	• The Integrated Assurance and Performance Report is in place and continues to be developed further as reported to ICB Board.

Threat sta	itus		Ref No / iss	stem Gaps in control (Sp sues where further work is require nage the risk to accepted appetite/ el)	d to	that the controls/ s	ces of Assuranc ystems which we are nanagement, risk and	placing reliance	Assurance Ref No	System Gaps in As areas / issues where furth manage the risk to accept level)	er work is required to
						Developme Group, Pro Health • Performant • Derbyshire	place and publis ent of Health Inec ovider facing for M ce Data from MH e ICS Health Ineq as been develope	qualities /lental SDB ualities			
engage wi	ation may not th prevention es treat threat	<ul> <li>Prevention work - winter plan and evidence base of where impact can be delivered</li> <li>General Practice is still trusted by the vast majority of people and has a proven track record of helping people engage with prevention programmes</li> <li>Integrated Care Partnership (ICP) and ICP Strategy in place which will support improving health outcomes and reducing health inequalities</li> </ul>				•	between the ICS y Health and We				
Threat	Action ref	Action	Control/ Assurance	Action Owner	Due D	ate	Has work started?	Committe assured)	ee level of as	SURANCE (eg assured, par	tially assured, not
			Ref No					Committe	ee/Sub Group	Assurance	Committee level of assurance
Threat 1	2T1.1A	A system decision has been made to move to a Federated Data Platform and this work continues.	2T1.1C	Dr Chris Weiner	Quarte	er 4 2024/2025	In progress	sub group		oard and subsequent Health & Strategic ttee	Partially assured
	2T1.5A	Work continues on scoping the first draft of the ICB Performance Management Improvement Framework. This is in development. Engagement and consultation is expected to commence in quarter 4.	e 2T1.1AS	Michelle Arrowsmith	Quarte	er 1 2025/2026	In progress		nd Performanc /stem Quality (	e Committee, ICB Group	Partially assured
Threat 2	2T2.1A	A Joint Strategy is expected to be completed by January 2025.	2T2.1C 2T2.2C	Prof Dean Howells	Janua	ry 2025	In progress		ality Group rtnerships Co	nmittee	Partially assured
Threat 3	2T3.3A	Operation Periscope update was presented at November 2024 Quality and Performance Committee. Soft launch of Operation Periscope presented at all Staff Team Talk 10.12.24. The final version will be launched in January 2025.	2T3.1AS	Dr Chris Weiner	Quarte	er 4 2024/2025	In progress	Directors	of Public Heal	th meeting	Partially assured
	9T1.2A	Prioritisation of actions needed to implement strategy.	9T1.2C	Michelle Arrowsmith	In pro	gress – 2024/25	In progress	ICB Board	d/ICP Board		Partially assured
Threat 4	9T1.4A	Work continues on scoping the first draft of the ICB Performance Management Improvement	9T1.4C	Dr Chris Weiner	Quarte	er 1 2025/2026	In progress		egional Preven e GP Provide		Partially assured

Threat status	JS System Controls (what controls/ systems & processes do we already have in place to assist us in managing the risk and reducing the likelihood/ impact of the threat)	Ref No	/ issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance		System Sources of Assurance (Evidence that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective – management, risk and compliance, external)		cing reliance	System Gaps in As areas / issues where furth manage the risk to accept level)	er work is required to
	Framework. This is in development. Engagement and consultation is expected to commence in quarter 4.								

#### Strategic Risk SR3 – Public Partnership Committee

	Strategic Aim – To improve overall health outcomes ncluding life expectancy and healthy life expectancy rates for people (adults and children) living in Derby		ssurance level	Adequate		
		ICB Lead: Helen Dilli ICB Chair: Sue Sund Committee	stone, Chief of Staff lerland, Interim Chair of Public	c Partnership	System lead: Helen Dillistone, Chief of System forum: Public Partnership Cor	
Strategic risk	There is a risk that the popula	ation is not sufficier	tly Risk appetite: targe	t, tolerance an	nd current score	
(what could prevent us achieving this strategic objective)	engaged and able to influenc development of services, lead access to care and poorer he	e the design and ding to inequitable	TOLERABLE LEVEL OF RISK as agreed by committee	14	Strategic Risk 3	
			12	8 6 4 2 0 Apr-24 Ma	ay-24 Jun-24 Jul-24 Aug-24 Sep-24 Oct-24 I • Current risk level — Tolerable risk level ••••••• Targ	get risk level
Strategic threats (what	night cause this risk to materialise)			Impact (what a	are the impacts of each of the strategic thr	eats)
<ul> <li>service development from their experience</li> <li>Due to the pace of ch with stakeholders dur</li> <li>The complexity of cha cost improvement pro stage, or not at all lea</li> <li>The system does not</li> </ul>	ing engaged and included in the str therefore the system will not be abl in its planning and prioritisation. ange, building and sustaining comr ing a significant change programme ange required, and the speed of tran ogrammes required leads to patients uding to legal challenge where due p adopt the ethos of the Insight or Co cisions and the power balance acro	e to suitably reflect the nunication and engage e may be compromised nsformation, potential of s and public being enga process is not being ap p-Production Framewor	public's view and benefit ment momentum and pace lecommissioning and other aged too late in the planning propriately followed. ks, public views do not	<ol> <li>Failure to s</li> <li>inability to c</li> <li>challenge;</li> </ol>	gal challenge through variance/lack of pro ecure stakeholder support for proposals. deliver the volume of engagement work re reputational damage and subsequent loss redibility for the ICB's broader claims to pla	equired s of true
Threat status	System Controls (what controls/ syst	ems & Control	System Gaps in control (s		stem Sources of Assurance (Evidence	Assu
	processes do we already have in place to as managing the risk and reducing the likeliho		/ issues where further work is require manage the risk to accepted appetit		the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance are effective – management, risk and compliance,	Ref
	of the threat)		level)	exte		
Threat 1 The public are not being engaged and included in the strategy development and early	<ul> <li>Agreed system Communicatio Engagement Strategy.</li> <li>Agreed targeted Engagement – to implement engagement el C&amp;E strategy.</li> </ul>	Strategy	All aspects of the Engageme Strategy need to continue to developed and implemented evaluated. All are in progres	be l, and then •	Senior managers have membership of IC Strategy Working Group to influence. PPI assessment processes routinely reported to Public Partnership Committee.	3T1. 3T1.
planning stage of service development therefore the system will not be able to suitably reflect the public's view and benefit from their	<ul> <li>Agreed Guide to Public Involve published and available to the to guide good practice.</li> <li>PPI log developed to list all po services changes and the app level of engagement required. seen by PPC and HOSC.</li> </ul>	system tential ropriate This is	Continue to advise providers PPI practice, especially arous system transformation progr Ensuring transformation pro are providing sufficient time the inputs to and outcomes	ammes. grammes to factor in from	PPI assessment processes routinely shared with Health Overview & Scrutiny Committees. Comprehensive legal duties training programme for engagement professionals. Public Partnership Committee	3T1. 3T1.
experience in its planning and prioritisation.	<ul> <li>A suite of guidance is available support the application of the p involvement duty in service ch and assessment process.</li> </ul>	public	involvement activity, includir prioritising the utilisation of i alongside other evidence so	nsight	assurance to ICB Board. Public Partnership Committee Assurance to ICB Board on identified risks.	

## Joined Up Care Derbyshire

е	17.1	Date of identification: 17.11.2022 Date of last review: 31.01.25						
	Initial	Current	Target					
Dec-24	16	12	9					

ed; risk of transformation delay due to legal rust among key stakeholders. public views at the heart of decision-making.

urance No	System Gaps in Assurance (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)
.1AS	Evidence of tangible inputs and outputs aligned to key strategies and plans.
.2AS	Public Partnership Committee performance reporting in development.
.3AS	Assurance on skills relating to cultural engagement and communication across all JUCD partners.
.4AS	Confirmation of commissioner representation on the PPC.

Threat status	System Controls (what controls/ systems &	Control	System Gaps in control (Specific areas	System Sources of Assurance (Evidence	Assu
	processes do we already have in place to assist us in managing the risk and reducing the likelihood/ impact of the threat)	Ref No	/ issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)	that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective – management, risk and compliance, external)	Ref N
	<ul> <li>Guidance available around consulting with the Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee.</li> <li>Public Partnership Committee now established and identifying role in</li> </ul>	3T1.4C	Establishment of Lay Reference Group required to include diversity of the voice we hear in assurance processes. Delay to development.	<ul> <li>ePMO gateway structure ensures compliance with PPI process.</li> <li>National Oversight Framework ICB annual assessment evidence and emerging CQC reviews.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>assurance of softer community and stakeholder engagement.</li> <li>Clear understanding of duties in relation to NHS providers, including general practice.</li> <li>Communications and Engagement Team leaders are linked with the emerging system strategic approach, including the development of place alliances.</li> </ul>	3T1.5C 3T1.6C 3T1.7C	Confirmation of commissioner representation on the PPC. Ongoing learning of skills relating to cultural engagement and communication across all JUCD partners, including health literacy approach. ePMO reporting system in development to complete PPI	<ul> <li>Benchmarking against comparator ICS approaches.</li> <li>The CQC self-assessment and improvement framework has been co-designed to help Integrated Care Systems (ICSs) improve their engagement with people and communities. DDICB is a pilot site.</li> <li>PPC to be stood down and PPI duties overseen by Strategic Commissioning</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Insight summarisation is informing the priorities within the strategy.</li> <li>A range of methods and tools available to all our system partners to support involvement of people and communities in work to improve, change and transform the delivery of our health and care provision. These include Readers Panel, PPG Network, Patient and Public Partners, Derbushing Dialogue, and Opling</li> </ul>	3T1.8C	Insight Framework proof of concept continues to be developed to embed it as 'Business as Usual', ensuring we share power with people and communities routinely, supporting them to have a voice, and input into priority setting.	and Integration Committee. This will align PPI and commissioning activity and assurance.	
	<ul> <li>Derbyshire Dialogue, and Online Engagement Platform.</li> <li>Insight Framework proof of concept now moving to results phase to inform how system acts on findings.</li> <li>Developed Insight Library to house all insight available in the system, with the aim of sharing this with all system partners to aid decision making based</li> </ul>	3T1.9C 3T1.10C	Coproduction Framework in development to embed, support, and champion co-production in the culture, behaviour, and relationships of the Integrated Care System, coproduced with a wide range of system partners. Evaluation Framework in		
	<ul> <li>on insight and prevent duplication.</li> <li>Agreed gateway for PPI form on the ePMO system.</li> </ul>		development, to enable the ICB to continually examine public involvement practice and the impact this has on work, people, and communities.		
		3T1.11C	Definition on appraisal of five frameworks to support ongoing continuous improvement, in turn demonstrating how ICB acts on people's needs and lived experience to reduce inequalities in health and care provision.		
		3T1.12C	Process and culture to ensure the views of citizens are at the centre of decision making.		
		3T1.13C	The conversion of existing and new insight into decision-making processes across the ICB and system.		

urance No	System Gaps in Assurance (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)

Threat status	System Controls (what controls/ systems & processes do we already have in place to assist us in managing the risk and reducing the likelihood/ impact of the threat)	Control Ref No	System Gaps in control (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance	System Sources of Assurance (Evidence that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective – management, risk and compliance, ovternal)	Assurance Ref No	System Gaps in Assurance (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance
	of the threat)	3T1.14C	Programme budgets not factoring in engagement expenditure in project development, and no central pot of programme engagement funding held in ICB.	external)		level)
Threat 2 Due to the pace of change, building and sustaining communication and engagement momentum and pace with stakeholders during a significant change programme may be compromised.	<ul> <li>Agreed system Communications &amp; Engagement Strategy, with ambitions on stakeholder relationship management.</li> <li>Membership of key strategic groups, including Executive Team, Delivery Board, Senior Leadership Team and others to ensure detailed understanding of progression.</li> <li>Functional and well-established system communications and engagement group.</li> <li>Digital engagement infrastructure in place across partners to ensure transparency around decisions being made in the ICB and enhance opportunities for collaboration.</li> <li>Established Relationship Manager role within the Engagement Team to try and offset this in some areas of commissioning and transformation, and encourage continuous engagement. E.g. Maternity, CAYP, Urgent Care, Mental Health.</li> <li>Established relationships with key forums in the City and County, e.g. DHIP and the BME Forum.</li> </ul>	3T2.1C 3T2.2C 3T2.3C 3T2.4C 3T2.5C	<ul> <li>Development of system stakeholder communication methodologies understand and maintain/improve relationships and maximise reach.</li> <li>Systematic change programme approach to system development and transformation not yet articulated/live.</li> <li>Staff awareness of work of ICS and ICB programme, to enable recruitment of advocates for the work.</li> <li>Behaviour change approach requires development to support health management and service navigation. Proposal required for UECC Delivery Board and other areas to develop this, requiring resource.</li> <li>Communications and Engagement Strategy refresh required in 2024/25.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>NHS/ICS ET membership and ability/requirement to provide updates.</li> <li>ePMO progression.</li> <li>Public Partnership Committee Assurance to ICB Board on identified risks.</li> <li>ePMO gateway structure ensures compliance with PPI process.</li> <li>Benchmarking against comparator ICS approaches.</li> <li>National Oversight Framework ICB annual assessment evidence and emerging CQC reviews.</li> </ul>	3T2.1AS 3T2.2AS	Ability to articulate momentum behind coherent priorities and approach to delivering strategy, transformation and mitigation of financial challenge. Public Partnership Committee performance reporting in development.
Threat 3 The complexity of change required, and the speed of transformation, potential decommissioning and other cost improvements required leads to patients and public being engaged too late in the planning stage, or not at all leading to legal challenge where due process is not being appropriately followed.	<ul> <li>Agreed system Communications &amp; Engagement Strategy.</li> <li>Agreed Guide to Public Involvement, now being rolled out to ICB and then broader system.</li> <li>Public Partnership Committee established and identifying role in</li> <li>assurance of softer community and stakeholder engagement.</li> <li>ePMO gateway process includes engagement assessment check</li> <li>Training programme underway with managers on PPI governance requirements and process</li> </ul>	3T3.1C 3T3.2C 3T3.3C 3T3.4C 3T3.5C	Systematic change programme approach to system development and transformation not yet articulated/live. Clear roll out timescale for transformation programmes. Communications and Engagement Strategy refresh required in 2024/25. Fully embedded PPI duties within the commissioning cycle. Commissioning decisions made without regard for PPI duties, both with DDICB and in areas where we are an associate commissioner.	<ul> <li>Comprehensive legal duties training programme for engagement professionals.</li> <li>PPI Governance Guide training for project/programme managers.</li> <li>Public Partnership Committee assurance to ICB Board</li> <li>ePMO progression.</li> <li>Public Partnership Committee Assurance to ICB Board on risks.</li> <li>ePMO gateway structure ensures compliance with PPI process.</li> <li>National Oversight Framework ICB annual assessment evidence.</li> <li>Establishment of ICB Procurement Group supports future planning and engagement timetable.</li> <li>Anticipated national guidance on strategic commissioning, including commissioning cycle approach.</li> </ul>	3T3.1AS 3T3.3AS	Strengthened connection between PHSCC and PPC business agendas. Establish Procurement guidance related to patient and public involvement.
Threat 4	<ul> <li>Agreed system Communications &amp; Engagement Strategy.</li> </ul>	3T4.1C	ICB Board oversight and mandate.	Programme of updates and presentations to seek consensus	3T4.1AS	Evidence of tangible inputs and outputs aligned to key strategies and plans.

Threat status	System Controls (what controls/ systems & processes do we already have in place to assist us in managing the risk and reducing the likelihood/ impact of the threat)	Control Ref No	System Gaps in control (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)	System Sources of Assurance (Evidence that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective – management, risk and compliance, external)	Assurance Ref No	System Gaps in Assurance (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)
The system does not adopt the ethos of the Insight or Co- Production Framework, public views do not routinely influence decisions and the power balance across the NHS system resides with decision- makers.	<ul> <li>Insight Framework approach firmly embedded in the work of the Engagement Team, and promoted in all interactions with commissioners and system partners as the way we should be working. Sharing power with people and communities, and spending time building trust and relationships.</li> </ul>	3T4.3C 3T4.4C 3T4.5C 3T4.6C 3T4.7C	level)Understanding of resourcing/sustainability of programme beyond pilot phase to build a network of staff across the system who can promote this way of working and support its implementation.Embedding of governance approach into system/ICB procedures.Monitoring of outcomes in line with other articulated threats on transformation programme.Insight Framework has been developed and its implementation will ensure that we have insight around what matters to people to feed into future strategic priorities.Coproduction Framework in development to embed, support, and champion co-production in the culture, behaviour, and relationships of the Integrated Care System, coproduced with a wide range of system partners.	<ul> <li>To be developed during next phase of implementation as adoption of insight and co-production approaches into decision making processes are confirmed.</li> </ul>	3T4.2AS 3T4.3AS	Public Partnership Committee performance reporting in development. Insight Strategy in development.

Threat	Action ref	Action	Control/	Action Owner	Due Date	Has work started?	Committee level of assurance (e.g. assured, partially assured, not assured)		
	no		Assurance Ref No			Update	Committee/Sub Group Assurance	Committee level of assurance	
Threat 1	3T1.1A	Ongoing implementation of Engagement Strategy frameworks and evaluation.	3T1.1C 3T1.2C	Karen Lloyd	Ongoing through 24/25	Commenced	Public Partnership Committee	Partial Assurance	
		<ul> <li>Evaluation Framework – aligned to creation of Lay Reference Group and Performance Report</li> </ul>	3T1.4C 3T1.10C	KL/ST	LRG launch and Performance Report agreement 30.09.24	LRG delayed. Performance report requirements to be agreed with SCIC.			
		Co-production Framework	3T1.9C	BF	July workshop converted into action plan 30.9.24	Commenced 2.7.24. Guides in development for agreement Q4 2024/25	Co-production development group – co- producing action plan based on workshop.		
		Insight Framework	3T1.8C 3T4.3C 3T4.4C 3T4.5C 3T4.6C 3T4.7C	AK KL	Insight Strategy developed following pilots 30.10.24	Commenced 01.06.24. Evaluation and spreading of practice the subject of revised Engagement Strategy Q1 2025/26	Public Partnership Committee		
		<ul><li>Engagement Framework</li><li>Governance Framework</li></ul>	3T1.11C	ST	Q1 2025/26	Plan in SCIC development session on engagement and insight. Agree ToR.	Public Partnership Committee & Population Health and Clinical Commissioning Committee		
	3T1.2A	Engagement Strategy Refresh taking heed to frameworks evaluation and embedding, seeking to move into Influence, Developing our Practice and Insight strategic phase.	3T1.1C	Karen Lloyd	Ongoing roll out and implementation. Update following completion of other frameworks 31.03.25	Planning sessions held Jan/Feb 25, including review at PPC development session, 28.1.25	Public Partnership Committee		
	3T1.3A	Assess current team skills in cultural engagement and communications, including channel assessment, and devise action plan to close gaps/implement training and development.	3T1.6C 3T1.3AS 3T2.1C	Christina Jones/Karen Lloyd	Team Skills Audit and PDP 30.9.24 Community Profiles Pilot 30.9.24	In progress, with delay. Pilot profile available for Normanton, Derby. To be reviewed view to roll out Q1 25/26.	Communications & Engagement Team		
					Internal communications channels audit 30.9.24 External communications channels audit	Survey complete, devising action plan by 31.03.25. Survey complete, action plan in delivery since Sept 2024.			

item 1	35 - Appen							
	3T1.5A	Strengthen communications and engagement support to 2025 JFP development, with programme of public discussion to help inform.	3T1.1AS 3T2.2C	Christina Jones/Karen Lloyd	Programme launch – 30.9.24	Commenced – connection into 25/26 planning and onward JFP approach.	Public Partnership Committee	
	3T1.6A	Secure ICB commissioner representation on PPC.	3T1.5C 3T3.1AS	Sean Thornton	Close	No longer applicable – PPC to be stood down from 1.4.25	Public Partnership Committee & Population Health and Clinical Commissioning Committee	
	3T1.7A	Strengthen assurance on PPI and Insight at PHSCC to ensure plans have public view embedded.	3T1.2C 3T1.3C 3T2.4C	Sean Thornton	01.04.25	To be resolved by ICB PPI statutory duties becoming part of new SCIC.		
Threat 2	3T2.1A	Revision of Communications Strategy, to incorporate prior work on stakeholder strategy and take account of internal & external communications surveying.	3T2.1C 3T2.5C 3T2.1AS 3T3.3C	Christina Jones	31.10.24	Progressing. Align to internal and external communications surveys. 30.10.24.	Public Partnership Committee Executive Team	Partial assurance
	3T2.2A	Continue to align with ePMO and other governance processes to embed PPI assessment processes	3T1.7C	Karen Lloyd	Complete.	Complete.	Public Partnership Committee	
Threat 3	3T3.1A	Establish the role of the Communications and Engagement Team in the work of the Prevention and Health Inequalities Board to identify priorities.	3T1.1AS 3T3.1C	Sean Thornton	30.9.24	Commenced 21.06.24, ongoing membership of P&Hi Board.	Communications and Engagement Team	Partial assurance
	3T3.2A	Implement scoping exercise across system/ICB delivery boards and other groups to establish C&E work programme and capacity requirements.	3T1.2C 3T1.3C 3T1.7C 3T3.2C 3T3.1AS 3T2.3C	Sean Thornton, Karen Lloyd, Christina Jones	30.09.24	Commenced June 2024. Work underway to align with Transformation Coordinating Group and system communications leads.	Public Partnership Committee	
Threat 4	3T4.1A	Secure ICB Board Development session on insight strategy to ensure oversight and mandate.	34T.1C 3T4.1AS 3T4.2AS 3T2.3C 3T2.2AS	Helen Dillistone	31.10.24	Not started.	ICB Board	Partial assurance
	3T4.3A	Resource assessment undertaken to understand sustainability of insight framework and pilots.	3T4.3C 3T4.4C 3T4.5C 3T4.6C	Karen Lloyd	31.12.24	Not started. Aligned to action 3T1.1A Insight Framework.	Public Partnership Committee Integrated Care Partnership Executive Team	
	3T4.4A	Assess transformation programme delivery and associated use of insight to inform plans.	3T1.7C 3T1.8C	Karen Lloyd	31.03.25	Not started.	Public Partnership Committee	
		Associated action 3T1.7A						

#### Strategic Risk SR4 – Finance, Estates and Digital Committee

	rove health and care gaps n the population and engineer	Committee overall as	ssurance level	Adequate	Adequate		
	oductivity, and ensure financial and care services across Derby		, Interim Chief Finance Offic , Finance, Estates and Digit		System lead: Claire Finn, Interim Chier System forum: Finance, Estates and I		
Strategic risk (what could prevent us achieving this strategic objective)	There is a risk that the NHS in to reduce costs and improve the ICB to move into a sustain position and achieve best valu available funding.	productivity to enab nable financial		t, tolerance and 25 20 15 10 5	d current score Strategic Risk 4		
	might cause this risk to materialise)				ay-24 Jun-24 Jul-24 Aug-24 Sep-24 Oct-24 Current risk level — Tolerable risk level ······ Tarp re the impacts of each of the strategic thre	get risk lev	
<ol> <li>Shortage of out of ho</li> <li>The scale of the chal transformation. failure</li> <li>National funding mod</li> </ol>	, capacity issues, and availability and spital provision across health and ca lenge means break even can only be e to deliver against plan and/or to tra lel does not reflect clinical demand a lel does not recognise that Derbyshi	are impacts on producti e achieved by structura ansform services and operational / workfo	l change and real	<ul> <li>cost of borro</li> <li>2. Increasing b</li> <li>3. Provider per</li> <li>4. Any material could still be improving per</li> </ul>	eet financial plan / return to sustainable fin owing bed occupancy to above safe levels and po- formance levels drop and costs increase I shortfall in funding means even with effic e a gap to breakeven, whilst also preventin opulation health received by the ICB do not recognise the b	oor flo ciency ng any	
Threat status	System Controls (what controls/ syste processes do we already have in place to as managing the risk and reducing the likelihoo of the threat)	sist us in Ref No	System Gaps in control ( / issues where further work is requ manage the risk to accepted appet level)	ired to that	stem Sources of Assurance (Evidence the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance re effective – management, risk and compliance, rnal)	Ass Ref	
Threat 1 Rising activity needs, capacity issues, and availability and cost of workforce	<ul> <li>Given the scale of the challeng is no single control that can be place to totally mitigate this risk</li> <li>Detailed triangulation of activity workforce and finances in place</li> <li>Provider Collaborative oversee 'performance' and transformation programmes to deliver improve productivity</li> </ul>	put in k now. y, 4T1.2C e sing on 4T1.3C	New Workforce and Clinica Plan. Triangulated activity, workfo financial plan. Do not understand the low to address the clinical work modelling. Do not have the manageme processes in place to delive and level of productivity / eff required. The integrated assurance a performance report needs the statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the	I Models • orce, and productivity force • ent er the plans ficiency •	Financial data and information is trusted but needs further work to translate into a sustainable plan. Workforce planning is in its infancy and improving but is not yet robust enough to be fully triangulated with demand, capacity, and financial plans. Five-year financial plan has been prepared to accelerate and influence change. Operational Plan and strategic plan being agreed at Board level. Integrated Assurance and Performance Report.	4T1.	

## Joined Up Care Derbyshire

nce Officer Committee	17.1	of identificat 1.2022 of last review	tion: w: 25.02.2025
	Initial	Current	Target
Dec-24 vel	16	20	9

al position. Severe cash flow issues and additional

ow in/out of hospital

y and transformation and structural change there y investment in reducing health inequalities and

th and location of services delivered by Providers

urance No         System Gaps in Assurance (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)           .1AS         The Integrated Assurance and Performance Report is in place and will continue to be developed further as reported to ICB Board.		
Performance Report is in place and will continue to be developed further as		areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance
	.1AS	Performance Report is in place and will continue to be developed further as

Threat status	System Controls (what controls/ systems & processes do we already have in place to assist us in managing the risk and reducing the likelihood/ impact of the threat)	Control Ref No	System Gaps in control (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)	System Sources of Assurance (Evidence that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective – management, risk and compliance, external)	Assurance Ref No	System Gaps in Assurance (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)
<u>Threat 2</u> Shortage of out of hospital provision across health and care impacts on productivity levels	<ul> <li>Not aware of effective controls now, and the solution requires integrated changes across social care and the NHS</li> <li>Collaborative escalation arrangements in place across health and care to ensure maximum cover out of hospital and flow in hospital is improved.</li> <li>Programme delivery boards for urgent and elective care review</li> </ul>	4T2.1C 4T2.2C 4T2.3C	developed further to triangulate areas of activity, workforce, and finance. National shortage in supply of out of hospital beds and services for medically fit for discharge patients prevents full mitigation. New Workforce strategy and Clinical Model required, alongside clear priorities for improving population health. Triangulated activity, workforce, and	<ul> <li>Integrated assurance and performance report and tactical responses agreed at Board level. Assurances for permanent, long-term resolution not available.</li> <li>National productivity assessment tool now available to assist all systems across the country, which will be used to influence 24/25 planning and delivery.(EA)</li> </ul>	4T2.1AS	The Integrated Assurance and Performance Report is in place and will continue to be developed further as reported to ICB Board.
		4T2.4C 4T2.5C	financial plan. Do not fully understand the low productivity levels and the opportunities to improve via the clinical workforce. Review Value Weighted Activity (VWA) target set for the system and benchmark this against other systems.			
Threat 3 The scale of the challenge means break even can only be achieved by structural change and real transformation. failure to deliver against plan and/or to transform services	<ul> <li>The CIP and Transformation Programme is not owned by leads, managed, implemented, and reported on for Finance to build into the system financial plan.</li> <li>EPMO system has been established and the System is committed to its use for 24/25</li> <li>EPMO has list of efficiency projects only that are not developed to a level where the financial impact can be assured.</li> <li>Long term national funding levels are insufficient and uncertain, meaning despite radical improvements in efficiency and structural, transformational change, a financial gap to breakeven will remain.</li> <li>Development of Financial Sustainability Board to understand and alleviate the financial challenges.</li> </ul>	4T3.2C 4T3.3C 4T3.4C 4T3.5C	Ownership of system resources held appropriately. The EPMO System is not fully owned and managed to make the savings required. Programme delivery boards need to refocus on delivering cash savings as well as pathway change. The provider collaborative needs to drive speed and scope through the programme delivery boards	<ul> <li>Reconciliation of financial ledger to EPMO System.</li> <li>SLT monthly finance updates provided – including recalibration of programme in response to emerging issues.</li> <li>Finance and Estates Committee oversight.</li> <li>Weekly system wide Finance Director meetings focussed on long term financial stability, with real evidence of effective distributive leadership and collegiate decision making.</li> </ul>		

Threat status	System Controls (what controls/ systems & processes do we already have in place to assist us in managing the risk and reducing the likelihood/ impact of the threat)	Control Ref No	System Gaps in control (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)	System Sources of Assurance (Evidence that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective – management, risk and compliance, external)	Assurance Ref No	System Gaps in Assurance (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)
Threat 4 National funding model does not reflect clinical demand and operational / workforce pressures	<ul> <li>National political uncertainty alongside national economic and cost of living crisis means long term, stable and adequate financial allocations are unlikely to emerge in the short to medium term</li> </ul>	4T4.1C	No assurance can be given	<ul> <li>All opportunities to secure resources are being maximised, alongside which a strong track record of delivery within existing envelopes is being maintained. This should give assurance regionally and nationally.</li> <li>Executive and non-executive influencing of regional and national colleagues needs to strengthen, and a positive, inspiring culture maintained across the local health and care system.</li> <li>Development of governance surrounding the commitment of secured resources for new investments.</li> </ul>	4T4.1AS	No assurance can be given
Threat 5 National funding model does not recognise that Derbyshire Providers receive £900m from other ICBs	<ul> <li>ICB allocations are population based and take no account of the fact that UHDB manages an Acute and two Community hospitals outside the Derbyshire boundary added to this EMAS only provide 20% of their activity in Derbyshire. Regional and National teams have been made aware of this anomaly and recognise this disadvantages Derbyshire.</li> </ul>	4T5.1C	No assurance can be given	The impact of this will continue to be calculated and will be demonstrated when appropriate.	4T5.1AS	No assurance can be given

Threat	Action ref no	Action	Control/ Assurance	Action Owner	Due Date	Has work started?	Committee level of assurance (eg ass assured)	ured, partially assured, not
			Ref No				Committee/Sub Group Assurance	Committee level of assurance
Threat 1	4T1.1A	Development of Triangulated Activity, Workforce and Financial plan during the 2025/26 planning round. Financial Sustainability Group continues to oversee progress of efficiency schemes for the wider system. Each organisation within the system has been asked to produce a medium term Financial plan.	4T1.1C 4T1.2C 4T1.6C	Michelle Arrowsmith	Subject to quarterly review – next review will be March 2025	In progress	Finance/Performance/Quality Committees ICB Board Financial Sustainability Group	Partial assurance given the financial environment and service pressures.
	4T1.2A	Review benchmarking information such as model health system, value weighted activity metrics etc to ensure optimum productivity and efficiency across Derby and Derbyshire.	4T1.1C 4T1.3C 4T2.1C	Claire Finn	Subject to quarterly review – March 2025	In progress	People and Culture/Finance Estates and Digital Committee	
	4T1.3A	Develop management processes to deliver plans and level of productivity required	4T1.1C 4T1.3C	Chair of Provider Collaborative/ Tamsin Hooton/Provider DOFs	Subject to quarterly review	In progress	PCLB/ Finance, Estates and Digital Committee	

Threat	Action ref	Action	Control/ Assurance	Action Owner	Due Date	Has work started?	Committee level of assurance (eg ass assured)	ured, partially assured, not
			Ref No				Committee/Sub Group Assurance	Committee level of assurance
		Implementation and maintenance of the e- PMO to track efficiencies. E-PMO now consistently populated with efficiencies including productivity and CIP. Discussions are taking place within SFEDC and sub groups about how to further develop system approach to productivity.	4T1.5C					
	4T1.4A	Work continues on scoping the first draft of the ICB Performance Management Improvement Framework. This is in development. Engagement and consultation is expected to commence in quarter 4	4T1.1C 4T1.1AS	Executive Team	Quarter 1 2025/26	In progress	ICB Board	
Threat 2	4T2.1A	Develop the workforce planning approach to inform the 2024/25 plan and future projections. For example, a Fragile Service Board was established in 24/25 to mitigate current and future service risks e.g. hyper acute stroke workforce.	4T1.2C 4T2.2C 4T2.4C	Lee Radford / Chris Weiner	Subject to monthly review	In progress	People and Culture Committee Provider Collaboration Leadership Board Fragile Service Board	Partial assurance given the financial environment and service pressures.
	4T2.2A	An aligned workforce activity and financial plan will be developed during 2025/26 planning round.	4T2.1C 4T2.3C	Executive Team	Subject to quarterly review – March 25	In progress	People and Culture Committee/ Finance Estates and Digital Committee	
	4T2.3A	VWA can be seen as an indicator of productivity and early information for quarter 1 suggests that there is currently overperformance against plans, however, this will need to be validated.	4T2.1C 4T2.5C	Executive Team/Michelle Arrowsmith	Subject to quarterly review – March 25	In progress	People and Culture/Finance Estates and Digital Committee	
hreat 3	4T3.1A	Develop and embed EPMO System Commitment to review the ePMO system in Q3 - Q4, scope of review agreed.	4T3.3C 4T3.4C 4T3.5C	Tamsin Hooton	Q4 2023/24 substantially completed Recommendations are being discussed through system groups Feb 24	In progress	Finance, Estates and Digital Committee / PCLB	Partial assurance through evidence of improving reporting and accountability, although real delivery is yet to be seen
	4T3.3A	Development of a consistent approach to measuring productivity is ongoing. Additional strategic programme covering all enabling efficiencies developed within the provider collaborative including developing	4T3.2C	Tamsin Hooton	Complete but further ongoing actions across all enabling services - next key day Quarter 1 2025/2026	In progress	NHS Executive Group Delivery and Trust Boards, PCLB, SFEDC, System PMO Leads Group	Partially assured
		value proposition. There are plans to establish a sub group of SFEDC on productivity. Work on 'value' opportunities, supported by Regional analytics		Claire Finn	Completed October 2024	Completed	Finance, Estates and Digital Committee	Assured

Threat	Action ref	Action	Control/ Assurance	Action Owner	Due Date	Has work started?	Committee level of assurance (eg ass assured)	ured, partially assured, not
			Ref No				Committee/Sub Group Assurance	Committee level of assurance
		team has also been completed (end of Q3). This element has now been superseded by one of the four workstreams within the CFO and Deputy Finance Forum.						
Threat 4	4T4.1A	National Allocations unclear. Resolved November 2024.	4T4.1C 4T4.1AS	Executive Directors / NEMs	Completed November 2024	Completed	SFEDC	Assured
Threat 5	4T5.1A	The ICB will continue to lobby the Regional and National teams.	4T5.1C 4T5.1AS	Claire Finn	Subject to quarterly review/on-going – March 2025	In progress	SFEDC	A significant change in allocation policy at National level will need to take place to rectify this issue.

#### Strategic Risk SR5 – People and Culture Committee

	o improve health and care gaps nced in the population and engineer	Committee overall assurance level				Partially Assured		
best value, impro	ve productivity, and ensure financial lealth and care services across Derby			CB Chief People Offi ea, Chair of People 8		ure Committee	System lead: Lee Radford, ICB Chief P System forum: People and Culture Cor	
Strategic risk	There is a risk that the system	n is not able to		Risk appetite: ta	rget,	tolerance and	current score	
(what could prevent us achieving this strategic objective)	maintain an affordable and su supply pipeline and to retain positive staff experience.	o retain staff through a		TOLERABLE LEVEL OF RISK as agreed by committee.	s	25	Strategic Risk 5	
				16		15		
						5		
							24 Jun-24 Jul-24 Aug-24 Sep-24 Oct-24 I	
Strategic threats	(what might cause this risk to materialise)				l	mpact (what are	the impacts of each of the strategic threa	ts)
<ol> <li>Lack of system</li> <li>Staff resilience environmental f</li> <li>Employers in the service users the specific profession</li> </ol>	financial position makes the current work alignment between activity, people and fi and wellbeing across the health and care factors e.g. the industrial relations climate the care sector cannot attract and retain su brough the pathways due to the scale of v sions.	nancial plans. workforce is neg and the financial fficient numbers o acancies across l	atively imp challenge of staff to nealth and	pacted by es in the system. enable optimal flow o I care and some	2	<ol> <li>There is an ur</li> <li>Increased sick leading to gap</li> <li>People going</li> </ol>	del developed to meet system finances a nder supply of people to meet the activity kness absence, workforce turnover, and c os in the staffing required to deliver service to better paid jobs in other sectors, which o lack of care packages, causing long wai	plann hang es. mear
Threat status	System Controls (what controls/ systems & processes do we already have in place to assist us managing the risk and reducing the likelihood/ impathe threat)		(Specific a further wo the risk to	areas / issues where brk is required to manage b accepted	syste	tem Sources of ems which we are plac compliance, external)	ASSURANCE (Evidence that the controls/ cing reliance on are effective – management, risk	Ass Ref
Threat 1 Current system financial position makes the current workforce model unsustainable.	<ul> <li>Organisational vacancy controls in p</li> <li>Agency Reduction plan and steering meetings in place.</li> <li>System workforce plan developed ar place and monitored.</li> </ul>	group nd in	Wor of T prog CIP und	olerance level) rkforce implications fransformation grammes including not fully erstood.	•	staffing spend vs Outputs from pro on a monthly bas Approved Syster Monthly reportin ICB Board and N People and Cult	m Workforce plan. g provided to ICB/ ICS Executive Team/	5T1
Threat 2 Lack of system alignment between activity, people and financial plans.	<ul> <li>An Integrated planning approach has agreed across the system covering finance, activity and workforce.</li> <li>Agreed System level SRO for Workfor Planning supported by Workforce St and Planning Associate Director.</li> <li>The System People and Culture Committee provides oversight of wor across the system.</li> </ul>	orce rategy	in re wor cost wor resu wor	ne inconsistencies ecording of kforce financial ts in system kforce plan ulting in increased kforce costs but ic WTEs.	•	temporary staffir Approved System Monthly reportin ICB Board and N People and Cult	ing of workforce plan position including ng alongside pay bill position. m Workforce plan g provided to ICB/ ICS Executive Team/ NHSE. ure Committee assurance to the Board rd Assurance Report which includes	5T2

## Joined Up Care Derbyshire

a Officer   bee   Date of identification: 17.11.2022   Date of last review: 27.02.2025     Initial   Current   Target     20   16     16     4   bec-24			
20 16 16 1 Dec-24			
4 Dec-24	Initial	Current	Target
	 20	16	16

posed to population need. ned and the funding available. ges in attitudes to work life balance post covid are

ans that patients cannot be discharged from times in the Emergency pathways and poorer

surance f No	System Gaps in Assurance (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)
1.1AS	Limited information on social care, VCFSE and local authority sectors workforce plans, costs and risks that would provide a full system perspective.
2.1AS	Work is progressing to develop an integrated performance assurance report which includes Quality, Performance, Workforce and Finance. Limited information on social care, VCFSE and local authority sectors workforce plans, costs and risks that would provide a fuller system perspective.

Threat status	System Controls (what controls/ systems & processes do we already have in place to assist us in managing the risk and reducing the likelihood/ impact of the threat)	Control ref No	System Gaps in control (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)	System Sources of Assurance (Evidence that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective – management, risk and compliance, external)	Assurance Ref No	System Gaps in Assurance (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)
Threat 3	<ul> <li>Increased workforce intelligence aligned to financial costs are continually improving.</li> <li>Improved workforce planning principles for 25/26 developed with finance and workforce teams.</li> <li>A Comprehensive staff wellbeing offer is in</li> </ul>	5T3.3C	The Leadership	Monthly monitoring of absence.	5T3.1AS	Work is progressing to develop an
Staff resilience and wellbeing across the health and care workforce is negatively impacted by environmental factors e.g. the industrial relations climate and the financial challenges in the system.	<ul> <li>Problem Provider Stan Weinbeing oner is in place and available to Derbyshire NHS and local authority ICS Employees from each provider organisation.</li> <li>Engagement and Annual staff opinion surveys are undertaken across the NHS Derbyshire Providers and ICB.</li> <li>The System People and Culture Committee provides oversight of workforce across the system.</li> <li>Enhanced Leadership Development offer to support Managers and promoting Health and Wellbeing for NHS providers</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Development offer is not yet fully embedded in each organisation.</li> <li>Independent social care providers and VCFSE sectors have variable health and well being offers.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>People and Culture Committee assurance to the Board via the ICB Board Assurance.</li> <li>Health Assessments continue to provide impact and now embedded within People Services to support long-term sickness within NHS and Local Authority providers.</li> </ul>		integrated performance assurance report which includes Quality, Performance, Workforce and Finance. Limited information on social care, VCFSE and local authority sectors workforce plans, costs and risks that would provide a fuller system perspective.
Threat 4 Employers in the care sector cannot attract and retain sufficient numbers of staff to enable optimal flow of service users through the pathways and the scale of vacancies across health and care and some specific professions.	<ul> <li>Promotion of social care roles as part of Joined Up Careers programme</li> <li>Workforce Partnership Group established with responsibility for two of the ten People Functions - Workforce Supply, Social and Economic Development - with a focus towards voluntary, primary and social care workforce as agreed with the Integrated Care Partnership.</li> </ul>	5T4.1C 5T4.2C 5T4.3C	<ul> <li>More work required to understand how the NHS can provide more support to care sector employers.</li> <li>Lack of Workforce representation on the ICP.</li> <li>Insufficient connection with People and Culture and the ICP.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>County and City Health and Wellbeing Boards support the delivery of the Health Inequalities Strategy and Plan.</li> <li>Better Care funding supports the Joined Up Careers team to work in partnership with Health and Social Care.</li> <li>Action Plan including a range of widening participation and resourcing proposals to support with DCC Homecare Strategy.</li> <li>Implementation of new JUCD system apprenticeship strategy.</li> <li>Development of a system One Workforce approach to improve collaborative talent pipelines.</li> </ul>	5T4.1AS	Lack of inclusive talent management and succession planning strategies and processes across the system that identifies succession planning risks. Lack of visibility of top 10 system hard to recruit to posts across all sectors. No defined talent plan or pipeline to support fragile services workforce challenges across the system. Limited information on social care, VCFSE and local authority sectors workforce plans, costs and risks that would provide a fuller system perspective.

Actions to	Actions to treat threat.								
Threat	Action ref	Action	Control/ Assurance	Action Owner	Due Date	Has work started?	Committee level of assurance (eg assur assured)	ed, partially assured, not	
			Ref No				Committee/Subgroup Assurance	Committee level of assurance	
Threat 1	5T1.2A	Quantify Workforce implications of Transformation programmes including CIP in conjunction with Provider Collaborative Board.	5T1.2C	Sukhi Mahil/ Tamsin Hooton	Q1 2025	Planning Commenced	People & Culture Committee Provider Collaborative Board	Partially assured.	
	5T1.3A	Scoping of system agency at Trust level use commenced for review at Agency Reduction Steering Group, aligned to the requirement to end the use of all Off-Framework agencies by 01 July 2024.	5T1.3C	Sukhi Mahil	Q3 2024	Complete December 2024	People & Culture Committee	Fully assured.	
	5T1.4A	All off-framework use must be signed off at Chief Executive level or through a designated deputy.	5T1.1AS	Sukhi Mahil	Q3 2024	Complete December 2024	People & Culture Committee	Fully assured.	
Threat 2	5T2.3A	Develop the workforce planning approach to inform the 2025/26 plan and future projections.	5T2.3C 5T2.1AS 5T2.2AS	Sukhi Mahil	Q3 2024/25	Complete December 2024	People & Culture Committee	Fully assured.	
Threat 3	5T3.1A	To review NHS Staff and Pulse Survey feedback and make recommendations for focused staff cultural and wellbeing initiatives to retain our people.	5T3.3C	Tracy Gilbert	In progress from Q3 2024/25, subject to quarterly review	In progress	People & Culture Committee	Partially assured.	
		To develop system OD strategy to improve culture, welling being and inclusion.	5T3.3C	Tracy Gilbert	March 2025	In progress	People & Culture Committee	Partially assured.	
Threat 4	5T4.1A	Develop a One Workforce Strategy which delivers a sustainable workforce pipeline.	5T4.1C 5T4.2C 5T4.3C	Lee Radford/Sukhi Mahil Susan Spray	November 2025	In progress	People & Culture Committee	Partially assured.	
		Continue to develop system wide recruitment campaigns to meet demand for health and care across Derbyshire.	5T4.1C 5T4.2C 5T4.3C	Susan Spray	System Recruitment campaigns planned as a rolling programme.	In progress	People & Culture Committee	Partially assured	
		Build better workforce intelligence of social care, VCSFE and local authority sectors to give a more informed workforce position across the system.	5T4.1C 5T4.2C 5T4.3C	Lee Radford/Sukhi Mahil	March 2025	In progress	People & Culture Committee	Partially assured	
		To develop a system talent management and succession planning approach to develop talent opportunities to attract and retain our people.	5T4.3C	Tracy Gilbert	April 2025	In progress	People & Culture Committee	Partially assured	
		Develop anchor relationships with local HEI's and FEI's to develop strategic workforce pipelines.	5T4.1C 5T4.2C 5T4.3C	Susan Spray	March 2025	In progress	People & Culture Committee	Partially assured	

## Joined Up Care Derbyshire

#### Strategic Risk SR7 – Population Health and Strategic Commissioning Committee

Strategic Aim – To improve health and care gaps currently experienced in the population and engineer		Committee overall assurance level			Partially Assured		
best value, improve pro	oductivity, and ensure financial and care services across Derby	ICB Lead: Michelle Arrowsmith, Chief Strategy an Officer ICB Chair: Margaret Gildea, Interim Chair of PHS			Delivery Officer		
Strategic risk	There is a risk that decisions a	nd actions taken	by	Risk appetite: target	t, toleranc	e and current score	
(what could prevent us achieving this strategic objective)	individual organisations are no strategic aims of the system, in scale of transformation and ch	ot aligned with th mpacting on the		TOLERABLE LEVEL OF RISK as agreed by committee 12	14         12         10         8         6         4         2         0	Strategic Risk 7	
					Apr-24	4 May-24 Jun-24 Jul-24 Aug-24 Sep-24 Oct-24 N Current risk level — Tolerable risk level ······ Targe	
Strategia threats (what	might acuse this rick to materialize)				Impost (w	hat are the impacts of each of the strategic through	ata)
<ol> <li>Lack of joint understa</li> <li>Demand on organisat aims.</li> <li>Time for system to me</li> </ol>	might cause this risk to materialise) Inding of strategic aims and requirem tions due to system pressures/restorations ove more significantly into "system th ts on individual organisations may co	ation may impact ab iink".	ility to f		<ol> <li>System</li> <li>System</li> <li>If the s</li> </ol>	hat are the impacts of each of the strategic three n partners interpret aims differently resulting in n partners may be required to prioritise their ow ystem does not think and act as one system, s ual boards to take decisions which are against	reduce /n orga upport
Threat status	System Controls (what controls/ system		Sys	tem Gaps in control (s	pecific areas	System Sources of Assurance (Evidence	Assu
	processes do we already have in place to ass managing the risk and reducing the likelihood			/ issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/toleran		that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective – management, risk and compliance,	Gap
	of the threat)	No	level		entoierance	external)	No
Threat 1 Lack of joint understanding of strategic aims and requirements of all system partners.	<ul> <li>Strategic objectives in place.</li> <li>JUCD Transformation Co-ordina Group in place with responsibilit delivery of transformation plans system.</li> <li>System Delivery Boards in place in areas of transformation to suppor 'system think' via system-wide context impact analysis</li> <li>Delivery Boards engagement with JUCD Transformation Board.</li> <li>Provider Collaborative Leadersh Board in place overseeing Deliver Boards and other delivery group</li> </ul>	e, n key ort sost: th nip ery	In se Deli broa of p that from Boa Leve Valu sha	ome cases, the 'scope' of ivery Board focus is not s ad enough to tackle the r roblems and thus there is system partners are cro n influencing the busines and. el of maturity of Delivery ues based approach to c red vision and strong rela oss partners in line with p	sufficiently oot cause s an issue wded out s of the Boards reating ationships	<ul> <li>Monthly reporting provided to ICB/ ICS Executive Team/ ICB Board and NHSE</li> <li>PHSCC assurance to the ICB Board via the Assurance Report and Integrated Quality and Performance Report.</li> <li>Audit and Governance Committee oversight and scrutiny</li> <li>Internal and external audit of plans (EA)</li> <li>Health Oversight Scrutiny Committees</li> <li>Delivery Highlight and Escalation Report and Transformation report shared with ICB Finance, Estates Committee and Digital Committee</li> <li>System Delivery Board agendas and minutes</li> </ul>	7T1. <sup>-</sup>

## Joined Up Care Derbyshire

ategy and egic		Date of identification: 17.11.2022 Date of last review: 13.02.25				
	Initi	al	Current	Target		
Dec-24		12	12	9		

ed focus or lack of co-ordination. anisational response ahead of strategic aims. t is less likely to be there to achieve strategic aims. m aims.

ourance Ref	System Gaps in Assurance (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)
.1AS	The Integrated Performance Report is in place and continues to be developed further as reported to ICB Board.
.2AS	Consistent management reporting across the system to be agreed.

Threat status	System Controls (what controls/ systems &	Control	System Gaps in control (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to	System Sources of Assurance (Evidence that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance	Assuran
	processes do we already have in place to assist us in managing the risk and reducing the likelihood/ impact	Gap Ref No	manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance	on are effective – management, risk and compliance,	Gap Ref No
	<ul> <li>of the threat)</li> <li>System planning &amp; co-ordination group managing overall approach to planning</li> <li>Formal risk sharing arrangements in place across organisations (via Section 75s/ Pooled Budgets)</li> <li>Health Oversight Scrutiny Committees (HOSCs)/ Health and Wellbeing Boards are in place with an active scrutinising role</li> <li>Dispute resolution protocols jointly agreed in key areas e.g. CYP joint funded packages – reducing disputes</li> <li>Currently the system part funds the GP Provider Board (GPPB) which provides a collective voice for GP practices in the system at a strategic and</li> </ul>	7T1.4C 7T1.5C	Ievel) Scoping, baselining, strategic overview, and solution choice to be carried out to ensure right solution is adopted to fit the business problem Understand impact of changes, how they support operational models, how best value can be delivered, and prioritised.	<ul> <li>external)</li> <li>Provider Collaborative Leadership Board minutes</li> <li>Health and Well Being Board minutes</li> <li>ICB Scheme of Reservation and Delegation</li> <li>Agreed process for establishing and monitoring financial and operational benefits</li> <li>Joint Forward Plan, Derby and Derbyshire NHS Five Year Plan 23/24 to 27/28 in place and published</li> </ul>	
Threat 2 Demand on organisations due to system pressures/restoration may impact ability to focus on strategic aims.	<ul> <li>operational level.</li> <li>As above and: <ul> <li>System performance reports received at Quality &amp; Performance Committee will highlight areas of concern.</li> <li>ICB involvement in NOF process and oversight arrangements with NHSE.</li> <li>GPPB and LMC both provide some resourced 'headspace' giving GP leaders time and opportunity to focus on strategic aims.</li> <li>PCN funding gives GP Clinical Directors some time to focus on the development of their Primary Care Networks.</li> <li>System Planning and Co-ordination Group ensuring strategic focus alongside operational planning.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	7T2.2C	Level of maturity of Delivery Boards	<ul> <li>NHSEI oversight and reporting (EA)</li> <li>Quality and Performance Committee assurance to the ICB Board via the Assurance Report and Integrated Performance Report.</li> <li>System Quality Group assurance to the Quality and Performance Committee and ICB Board.</li> <li>System Quality and Performance Report</li> <li>Monthly reports provided to ICB/ ICS Executive Team/ ICB Board and NHSE</li> <li>Measurement of relationship in the system: embedding culture of partnership across partners</li> <li>Audit and Governance Committee oversight and scrutiny</li> <li>Board Assurance Framework</li> <li>Operational Plan and Integrated Care Strategy in place.</li> </ul>	7T2.1AS
<u>Threat 3</u> Time for system to move more significantly into "system think".	<ul> <li>SOC/ICC processes – ICCs supporting ICB to collate and submit information</li> <li>As above – GPPB and LMC both provide some resourced 'headspace' giving GP leaders time to focus on system working</li> <li>Development and delivery of Integrated Care System Strategy</li> <li>Embedded Place Based approaches that focus partners together around community / population aims not sovereign priorities</li> </ul>	7T3.1C	As above, extent of operational pressures and time required to focus on reactive management.	<ul> <li>Daily reporting of performance and breach analysis – identification of learning or areas for improvement</li> <li>Resilience of OCC in operational delivery including clinical leadership</li> <li>NHSE oversight and daily reporting (EA)</li> </ul>	7T3.1AS
Threat 4 Statutory requirements on individual organisations may	<ul> <li>Strategic objectives in place.</li> <li>JUCD Transformation Co-ordinating Group in place with responsibility for delivery of transformation plans across system.</li> </ul>	7T4.1C 7T4.2C	Lack of process to measure impact of agreed actions across the system.	<ul> <li>Monthly reporting provided to ICB/ ICS Executive Team/ ICB Board and NHSE</li> <li>Audit and Governance committee oversight and scrutiny</li> <li>System Delivery Board agendas and</li> </ul>	

surance p Ref	System Gaps in Assurance (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)
2.1AS	The Integrated Performance Report is in place and continues to be developed
2.2AS	further as reported to ICB Board. Consistent management reporting across the system to be agreed.
3.1AS	The Integrated Performance Report is in
	place and continues to be developed further as reported to ICB Board.

Threat status	System Controls (what controls/ systems & processes do we already have in place to assist us in managing the risk and reducing the likelihood/ impact of the threat)	Control Gap Ref No	System Gaps in control (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)	System Sources of Assurance (Evidence that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective – management, risk and compliance, external)	Assurance Gap Ref No	System Gaps in Assurance (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)
conflict with system aims.	<ul> <li>System Delivery Boards in place - providing a mechanism to share decisions and challenge actions enhancing transparency and shared understanding of impact</li> <li>Programme approach in place in key areas of transformation to support 'system think' via system-wide cost: impact analysis</li> <li>Delivery Boards engagement with JUCD Transformation Board.</li> <li>Provider Collaborative Leadership Board in place overseeing Delivery Boards and other delivery groups.</li> <li>GPPB and LMC both provide some resourced 'headspace' giving GP leaders time and opportunity to focus on strategic aims.</li> <li>PCN funding gives GP Clinical Directors some time to focus on the development of their Primary Care Networks</li> <li>System Planning and Co-ordination Group ensuring strategic focus alongside operational planning</li> </ul>	7T4.3C 7T4.4C	Prolonged operational pressures ahead of winter and expected pressures to continue / increase. Level of maturity of Delivery Boards System Oversight of Individual boards decisions which may be against system aims.	<ul> <li>Provider Collaborative Leadership Board minutes</li> </ul>		

## Item 135 - Appendix 2 Actions to treat threat

Threat	Action ref	Action	Control/	Action Owner	Due Date	Has work started?	Committee level of assurance (eg assure assured)	d, partially assured, not
	no		Assurance Ref No			Starteu ?	Committee/Sub Group Assurance	Committee level of assurance
Threat 1	7T1.1A	Produce and embed the use of a universal prioritisation framework to guide resource allocation decisions. <i>(Also 7T3.1A).</i> This work is continuing and will be developed as part of the planning for 2025/2026. As part of the Executives System Planning Group, a System Planning Operational Task and Finish Group has been established and a draft for first review is expected in November 2024. Terms of Reference have been agreed.	7T1.1C 7T1.3C 7T1.4C 7T1.5C	Michelle Arrowsmith	Quarter 1 2025/26	In progress	PHSCC	Partially Assured
	7T1.2A	Work continues on scoping the first draft of the ICB Performance Management Improvement Framework. This is in development. Engagement and consultation is expected to commence in quarter 4.	7T1.1AS	Michelle Arrowsmith	Quarter 1 2025/26	Reported to Board Bi monthly	ICB Board	Partially Assured
	7T1.3A	Work on a more comprehensive and quantified benefits approach is continuing, UEC and 'doing hubs once' programmes are being prioritised in the first instance. Aim to develop this further in Q3/Q4 to support 25/26 planning. Training and support on using data for measurement to be offered to key transformation teams Q3.	7T1.2C	Tamsin Hooton	Quarter 4 2024/25	In progress	TCG/System Planning Group	Partially Assured
Threat 2	7T2.2A	Work on a more comprehensive and quantified benefits approach is continuing, UEC and 'doing hubs once' programmes are being prioritised in the first instance. Aim to develop this further in Q3/Q4 to support 25/26 planning. Training and support on using data for measurement to be offered to key transformation teams Q3.	7T2.2C	Tamsin Hooton	Complete December 2024	In progress	TCG/System Planning Group	Assured
		Recommendations about future capacity and skills development to be produced in Q4.	7T2.2C	Tamsin Hooton	Quarter 4 2024/25	In progress	TCG/System Planning Group	Partially assured
	7T2.3A	Work continues on scoping the first draft of the ICB Performance Management Improvement Framework. This is in development. Engagement and consultation is expected to commence in quarter 4.	7T2.2AS	Michelle Arrowsmith	Quarter 1 2025/26	In progress	Quality and Performance Committee ICB Board	Partially assured
Threat 3	7T3.1A	This work is continuing and will be developed as part of the planning for 2025/2026. As part of the Executives System Planning Group, a System Planning Operational Task and Finish Group has been established and a draft for first review is expected in November 2024. Terms of Reference have been agreed.	7T3.1C	Michelle Arrowsmith	Quarter 1 2025/26	In progress	PHSCC	Partially assured

Threat	Action ref no	Action	Control/ Assurance	Action Owner	Due Date	Has work started?	<b>Committee level of assurance</b> (eg assured, partially assured, not assured)		
			Ref No				Committee/Sub Group Assurance	Committee level of assurance	
	7T3.2A	Work continues on scoping the first draft of the ICB Performance Management Improvement Framework. This is in development. Engagement and consultation is expected to commence in quarter 4.	7T3.1AS	Michelle Arrowsmith	Quarter 1 2025/26	Reported to Board Bi- monthly	ICB Board	Partially assured	
Threat 4	7T4.2A	Operation Periscope update was presented at November 2024 Quality and Performance Committee. Soft launch of Operation Periscope presented at all Staff Team Talk 10.12.24. The final version will be launched in January 2025.	7T4.2C	Michelle Arrowsmith	Quarter 1 2025/26	In progress	ICB Board/ICP Board	Partially assured	
	7T4.4A	Delivery Boards to develop a process to share decisions and challenge actions enhancing transparency and shared understanding of impact. Transformation report and escalation report produced monthly and shared with System Finance and Estates Committee for assurance. Benefits realisation approach has been developed see 7T2.	7T4.4C	Tamsin Hooton	Complete December 2024	Completed	Delivery Boards / Finance, Estates and Digital Committee/NHS Executive	Assured	
		Gap in controls in relation to clear place in the system to agree on how to transact programme benefits, where they are non-cash releasing without changes to provider capacity.			TBC				
	7T4.5A	Development of a process to support system oversight and delivery of system aims and Joint Forward Plan.	7T4.5C	Helen Dillistone	Quarter 4 2024/25	Commenced	ICB Board/ICP Board	Partially Assured	

### Strategic Risk SR8 – Population Health and Strategic Commissioning Committee

Strategic Aim – To improve health and care gaps currently experienced in the population and engineer best value, improve productivity, and ensure financial sustainability of health and care services across Derby			einer ICB Chief Medical Offic			
and Derbyshire.	and care services across Derby	ICB Chair: Margaret (	Gildea, Interim Chair of PHSC	CC System forum: Population Healt Commissioning Committee		Strate
Strategic risk	There is a risk that the system	does not establish		tolerance and	d current score	
(what could prevent us achieving this strategic objective)	intelligence and analytical sol effective decision making.	utions to support	TOLERABLE LEVEL OF RISK as agreed by committee	Strategic Risk 8		
				14		
			12	10 8		
				6		
				2 0 Apr-24 Ma	y-24 Jun-24 Jul-24 Aug-24 Sep-24 Oct-24	Nov-24
					- Current risk level — — Tolerable risk level Targe	et risk level
Strategic threats (what	might cause this risk to materialise)			mpact (what ar	e the impacts of each of the strategic threa	ats)
funding and associat	ed resources are not identified to de	liver the analytical capa	acity.	system over • reduc • failur • reduc • failur	egic commissioning decisions and it will re sight of daily operations. This will result in ced ability to effectively support strategic c e to meet national requirements on popula ced ability to analyse how effectively resou e to deliver the required contribution to reg nued paucity of analytical talent development	a: ommis tion he rces a
Threat status	System Controls (what controls/ syste processes do we already have in place to as managing the risk and reducing the likelihood	sist us in Ref No	System Gaps in control (s		stem Sources of Assurance (Evidence	ent and
	of the threat)	od/ impact	manage the risk to accepted appetite level)	e/tolerance on a	the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance are effective – management, risk and compliance, ernal)	Assu Ref 1 8T1.

## Joined Up Care Derbyshire

edical Offi egic		17.11 Date	of identificati .2022 of last review		
	Init	tial	Current	Target	
Dec-24		12	12	8	
			pered in the r people structu	naking ires to ensure	
esioning and service improvement work ealth management, are being used within the ICB research initiatives d recruitment resulting in inflated costs					
urance No	areas / i	ssues wh	s in Assurand ere further work is o accepted appeti		
.1AS	The Ir	tegrate	d Assurance a	and	

The Integrated Assurance and
Performance Report is in place and
continues to be developed further as
reported to ICB Board.

Threat status	System Controls (what controls/ systems & processes do we already have in place to assist us in managing the risk and reducing the likelihood/ impact of the threat)	Control Ref No	System Gaps in control (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)	System Sources of Assurance (Evidence that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective – management, risk and compliance, external)	Assurance Ref No	System Gaps in Assurance (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)
	<ul> <li>and driving organisational improvement to optimise available workforce and ways of working</li> <li>Analytics and business intelligence identified as a key system enabler and priority for strategic planning and operationally delivery in the Digital and Data strategy</li> <li>NHSE priorities and operational planning guidance 23/24 requires the right data architecture in place for population health management</li> <li>Digital and Data identified as a key enabler in the Integrated Care Partnership strategy</li> <li>Strategic Intelligence Group (SIG)</li> </ul>	8T1.5C	<ul> <li>Population Health Management.</li> <li>JUCD Information Governance Group needs formalisation and work required on using data for planning purposes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Evidence of compliance with the ICB Scheme of Reservation and Delegation</li> <li>A staffed, budgeted establishment for ICB analytics (workforce BAF link required)</li> <li>Data Sharing Agreements in place across all NHS providers, ICB, hospices and local authorities for direct care purposes.</li> </ul>		

Actions t	ctions to treat threat							
Threat	Threat Action ref	ef Action	Control/ Assurance	Action Owner	Due Date	Has work started?	Committee level of assurance (eg assured, partially assured, not assured)	
			Ref No				Committee/Sub Group Assurance	Committee level of assurance
Threat 1	8T1.3A	Analytics team recruitment is complete for all other roles, all staff are in post. Band 8D now in post, commenced November 2024	8T1.2C	Chris Weiner	Quarter 3 2024/25 Complete	In progress	Executive Team	Partially assured
	8T1.4A	Operation Periscope update was presented at November 2024 Quality and Performance Committee. Soft launch of Operation Periscope presented at all Staff Team Talk 10.12.24. The final version will be launched in January 2025.	8T1.3C	Chris Weiner	Quarter 1 2025/26	In progress	Strategic Intelligence Group (SIG)	Partially assured
	8T1.5A	SIG is looking at health inequalities, population health management and how this data can be shared across the whole system. The Population Health Management element continues to be worked on.	8T1.4C	Chris Weiner	Quarter 4 2024/25	In progress	Strategic Intelligence Group (SIG)	Partially assured
	8T1.6A	A system decision has been made to move to a Federated Data Platform and this work continues.	8T1.5C	Helen Dillistone	Quarter 4 2024/25	In progress	Business Intelligence Team JUCD IG Group	Partially assured
	8T1.8A	Work continues on scoping the first draft of the ICB Performance Management Improvement Framework. This is in development. Engagement and consultation is expected to commence in quarter 4.	8T1.1AS	Michelle Arrowsmith	Quarter 1 2025/26	In progress Presented to ICB Board bi monthly	Quality and Performance Committee, ICB Board	Partially assured

#### Strategic Risk SR10 – Finance, Estates and Digital Committee

Strategic Aim – To improve health and care gaps currently experienced in the population and engineer best value, improve productivity, and ensure financial sustainability of health and care services across Derby and Derbyshire.		Committee overall a	ssurance level	Adequate		
			earn, Interim Joint Chief Digita n, Chair of Finance, Estates a		System lead: Claire Finn, Interim Chief Fina System forum: Finance and Estates Comm Data and Digital Board	
Strategic risk	There is a risk that the systen	n does not	Risk appetite: targe	t. tolerance a	and current score	
(what could prevent us achieving this strategic objective)	identify, prioritise and adequa transformation in order to imp enhance efficiency.	TOLERABLE LEVEL OF	14	Strategic Risk 10		
			12	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
				2 0 Apr-24	May-24 Jun-24 Jul-24 Aug-24 Sep-24 Oct-24 Nov-24	
Strategic threats (what	might cause this risk to materialise)			Impact (what	t are the impacts of each of the strategic threats)	
	cation and reconciliation process ac and substitutions to clinical pathwa linical engagement			alternativ (e.g. PIF	can realise. o secure patient, workforce and financial benefits ve care pathways highlighted in ICB plan; e.g. limi U, Virtual Ward, self-serve on line) o meet the national Digital and Data strategy key p	
Threat status	System Controls (what controls/ system processes do we already have in place to as managing the risk and reducing the likelihoo of the threat)	ssist us in Ref No	System Gaps in control (s / issues where further work is require manage the risk to accepted appetit level)	red to th e/tolerance or	System Sources of Assurance (Evidence hat the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance n are effective – management, risk and compliance, kternal)	
Threat 1 Agreement across the ICB on prioritisation of digital and technology activity may not be realised and therefore budget allocation and reconciliation process across ICB for digital and technology are not agreed.	<ul> <li>Agreed and publicly published and Data Strategy</li> <li>Digital and Data Board (D3B) i This provides board support ar governance for the delivery of agreed Digital and Data strateg</li> <li>D3B responsible for reporting assurance to ICB Finance and Committee and assurance and direction from the Provider Collaborative Leadership Boar</li> <li>Representation from Clinical Professional Leadership Group D3B</li> <li>Digital programme team leadin supporting key work in collabo with system wide Delivery Boa Urgent and Emergency Care, I</li> </ul>	n place. nd the gy. 10T1.2C Estates d. d. o on ng and ration rds e.g.,	ICB prioritisation and investi decision making process is fully implement the digital ar strategy priorities. Digital literacy programme to staff build confidence and co in using technology to delive	ment required to nd data o support ompetency	<ul> <li>Data and Digital Strategy approved by ICB and NHSE</li> <li>CMO and CDIO from ICB executive team are vice chairs of the D3B.</li> <li>Regional NHSE and AHSN representation at D3B provide independent input.</li> <li>D3B minutes demonstrating challenge and assurance levels</li> </ul>	

## Joined Up Care Derbyshire

nce Officer ttee	Date 17.11 Date	ion: v: 25.02.2025	
	Initial	Current	Target
Dec-24	12	12	9

the opportunities and efficiencies that digital

from digitally enabled care and implementation of ited adoption of alternative (digital) clinical solutions

priorities (eg attain HIMMS level 5; cyber resilience)

urance No	System Gaps in Assurance (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)

Threat status	System Controls (what controls/ systems & processes do we already have in place to assist us in managing the risk and reducing the likelihood/ impact of the threat)	Control Ref No	System Gaps in control (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)	System Sources of Assurance (Evidence that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective – management, risk and compliance, external)	Assu Ref N
	<ul> <li>to embed digital enablement in care delivery</li> <li>Digital and Data identified as a key enabler in the Integrated Care Partnership strategy</li> <li>NHSE priorities and operational planning guidance 23/24 requires the right data architecture in place for population health management</li> <li>Digital and Data has contributed to ICB 5 year plan Clear prioritisation of clinical pathway transformation opportunities need formalising through Provider Collaborative and ICB 5 year plan.</li> <li>Formal link to the GP IT governance and activity to the wider ICB digital and technology strategy in place via Chief Data Information Officer.</li> <li>GP presence on Derbyshire Digital and Data Board</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>through usage data</li> <li>Acceptance and adoption of digital improvements by operational teams (COO, primary care and comms support needed – links to digital people plan and Delivery Board outcomes)</li> <li>A staffed, budgeted establishment for ICB digital and technology (workforce BAF link required)</li> </ul>	
Threat 2 Digital improvements and substitutions to clinical pathways are not delivered through either a lack of citizen engagement and/or clinical engagement	<ul> <li>Digital and Data Board (D3B) enabling delivery board and support governance established and responsible for the delivery of the agreed Digital and Data strategy</li> <li>D3B responsible for reporting assurance to ICB Finance and Estates Committee and assurance and direction from the Provider Collaborative Leadership Board</li> <li>Citizen's Engagement forums have a digital and data element</li> <li>ICB and provider communications team engaged with messaging (e.g. Derbyshire Shared Care Record)</li> </ul>	10T2.2C 10T2.3C 10T2.4C	Development of a 'use case' library to help promote the benefits of digitally enabled care and now under construction for Shared Care Record         Improved information and understanding of Citizen and Community forums that could be accessed to discuss digitally enabled care delivery         Increased collaboration with the Voluntary Sector across Derby and Derbyshire to harness capacity and expertise in place with Rural Action Derbyshire	<ul> <li>ICB and provider communications plans with evidence of delivery</li> <li>Staff surveys showing ability to adopt and influence change</li> <li>Patient surveys and D7F results</li> <li>D3B minutes demonstrating challenge and assurance levels</li> <li>Provider Collaborative Leadership Board Minutes demonstrating challenge and assurance levels</li> <li>Clinical Professional Leadership Board Minutes demonstrating challenge and assurance levels</li> <li>Clinical Professional Leadership Board Minutes demonstrating challenge and assurance levels</li> <li>Evidence of compliance with the ICB Scheme of Reservation and Delegation</li> <li>Data and Digital Strategy adoption reviewed through Internal Audit</li> <li>ICB Board Finance and Estates Committee Assurance Report to escalate concerns and issues.</li> <li>Public Partnerships Committee minutes demonstrating challenge and assurance levels</li> </ul>	

urance No	System Gaps in Assurance (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)

	Action ref	Action	Control/ Assurance	Action Owner	Due Date	Has work started?	<b>Committee level of assurance</b> (eg assured, partially assured, not assured)		
			Ref No				Committee/Sub Group Assurance	Committee level of assurance	
Threat 1	10T1.2A	Develop and roll out staff digital literacy programme. Linked to Project Derbyshire (Digital HR) – no resource allocated / prioritised at this time. Planning work commenced	10T1.2C	Andrew Fearn / Workforce lead/AR	From 25/26 financial year	Commenced	D3B , Digital Implementation Group	Partially assured	
	10T1.3A	Adopt ICB prioritisation tool to enable correct resource allocation	10T1.1C	Andrew Fearn / Richard Coates	TBC – requires prioritisation tool	Part of 24/25 planning activity	D3B	Not assured	
Threat 2	10T2.2A	A review of the system communications methods in progress that will support digital comms.	10T2.3C	Andrew Fearn /Sean Thornton	Continuous – 2024/25 Next review March 2025	In progress	Public Partnership Committee	Partially assured	
	10T2.3A	Deliver digital (and data) messaging through ICB communications plan. JUCD NHS Futures site established (staff facing) that provides detail on specific digital projects across the ICS. Further work and agreement on route for public facing information.	10T2.3C	Andrew Fearn /Sean Thornton	Continuous 24/25 Next review March 2025	In progress	Public Partnership Committee/ DB3	Partially assured	
	10T2.4A	Meetings with Rural Action Derbyshire completed, and project agreed, in collaboration with Derbyshire County Council (DCC) to support digital inclusion/confidence. Derbyshire County Council agreed on-going funding support for 24/25. ICB Digital Programme team and engagement team to develop joint engagement strategy.	10T2.4C	Andrew Fearn /Sean Thornton	Continuous – 2024/25 Next review March 2025	In progress	Public Partnership Committee/ DB3	Partially assured	

#### Strategic Risk SR11 – Audit and Governance Committee

Strategic Aim – To improve overall health outcomes including life expectancy and healthy life expectancy rates for people (adults and children) living in Derby and Derbyshire.		Committee overall as ICB Lead: Dr Chris W ICB Chair: Dr Kathy I	/einer, Chief Medical Offi	Officer System lead: Dr Chris Weiner, Chie System forum: Audit and Governation		
Strategic risk (what could prevent us achieving this strategic objective)	There is a risk that the core pa business functions of Derbysk partners could be compromise there were a successful cyber resulting in threats to patient of loss or exploitation of persona information, amongst others.	nire system ed or unavailable if -attack/disruption, care and safety, and	15	25 20 15 10 5 0	Strategic Risk 11	
<ul> <li>The system does clear understand therefore will not</li> <li>Cyber security is bad actors, with t</li> <li>Contracts held by</li> </ul>	might cause this risk to materialise) not have a system wide cyber secu- ing of all digital systems and process have comprehensive business conti- a complex and changing field, with g hreats being generated by Ransomy the ICB do not always contain the re- ect and sub-contracted suppliers.	ses in use and their pote nuity plans in place. growing sophistication ir ware, Malicious Attacks,	place nor therefore a ential vulnerabilities and n the methods used by accidental IT incident.	<ul> <li>There actors</li> <li>If the s previo</li> </ul>	are the impacts of each of the strategic threats) may be gaps in the existing cyber security arrangem system does not maintain its awareness and knowled us attacks, there could be gaps in our cyber security ts to patient care, patient treatment pathways, NHS r	
Threat status	System Controls (what controls/ syste processes do we already have in place to as managing the risk and reducing the likelihoo	sist us in Ref No	System Gaps in control / issues where further work is req manage the risk to accepted appe	uired to	System Sources of Assurance (Evidence that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective – management, risk and compliance,	
<u>Threat 1</u> The system does not have a system wide cyber security plan and strategy in place nor therefore a clear understanding of all digital systems and processes in use and their potential vulnerabilities and therefore will not have comprehensive business continuity plans in place.	<ul> <li>of the threat)</li> <li>Main providers of digital sy have cyber security arrang in place.</li> <li>Business Continuity Plans aligned to ISO22301</li> <li>Appropriate use of DTAC (Technology Assessment C</li> <li>Incident Response Plans ir for each organisation, thes varied level cover Cyber In</li> </ul>	ements in place Digital riteria) n place e to a	<ul> <li>Smaller providers, websites, apps etc. have sufficient arra evidenced.</li> <li>Business Continuit need full awareness risks included whice outside of the scop templates in usage</li> <li>Limited assurance organisations arou Standard 53 "assu party suppliers" thi digital provision</li> <li>No Cyber Respons ICS plan in place</li> </ul>	angements angements ty plans ss of Digital ch are be of current in most in most ind Core rance of 3 <sup>rd</sup> s will include	<ul> <li>external)</li> <li>EPRR Core Standards majority of organisations have passed the Business Continuity Section for 2024-25</li> <li>Organisations have passed the DSPT Toolkit for 2024-25 which includes an external assurance review</li> <li>Successful completion and review of DTAC responses</li> <li>Completed Data Protection Impact Assessment (DPIA), Information Asset Register (IAR) and Information Sharing Agreement (ISA) to ensure the ICB understand the data being shared/processed and the associated risks</li> <li>Business Continuity arrangements are all aligned to ISO 22301 as per NHS</li> </ul>	

## Joined Up Care Derbyshire

cal Officer nmittee			of identificati of last review	on: Dec 2024 v: 25.02.25
	Init	ial	Current	Target
		20	20	9

nents which could potentially be exploited by bad

dge as to techniques used and lessons learned from arrangements.

resourcing, NHS financial management

urance No	System Gaps in Assurance (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)
1.1AS	Self-assessment via the EPRR Core Standards- commissioning of independent audit of cyber resilience within the Derbyshire system

Threat status	System Controls (what controls/ systems & processes do we already have in place to assist us in managing the risk and reducing the likelihood/ impact of the threat)	Control Ref No	System Gaps in control (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)	System Sources of Assurance (Evidence that the controls/ systems which we are placing reliance on are effective – management, risk and compliance, external)	Assurance Ref No	System Gaps in Assurance (Specific areas / issues where further work is required to manage the risk to accepted appetite/tolerance level)
<u>Threat 2</u> Cyber security is a complex and changing field, with growing sophistication in the methods used by bad actors, with threats being generated by Ransomware, Malicious Attacks, accidental IT incident.	<ul> <li>Health Emergency Planning Officers Group and the Local Health Resilience Partnership have oversight of risks pertaining to cyber-attack/disruption as identified in the National Security Risk Assessment</li> <li>Cyber Teams within organisations have good communication pathways that link into the ICB</li> <li>ICB is part of the Cyber Assurance Network – peer groups share issues and alerts, learning shared.</li> <li>The ICB, through NECS, are members of the NHS Bitsight and Vulnerability Management Service (VMS). These provide third-party assurance of the security of the perimeter network and the sharing of information on the dark web which could be used to instigate an attack.</li> </ul>	11T2.1C 11T2.2C 11T2.3C 11T2.4C	<ul> <li>Assurance of all organisations being signed up at both Cyber and EPRR/Operational level for NHS Digital Cyber Alerts for horizon scanning.</li> <li>ICS Cyber Resilience Working Group to share best practice and changes in Cyber risk/threat</li> <li>IT provision to the system is fragmented with different IT providers in organisation.</li> <li>Assurance not available as to taking learning from across the system and outside of it.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>standing guidance</li> <li>Cyber Alerts NHS Digital</li> <li>National Cyber Security Centre resources</li> <li>NHS EPRR Guidance and Frameworks</li> <li>JUCD Cyber Security Subgroup</li> </ul>	11T2.1AS 11T2.2AS	<ul> <li>Confirmation that all organisations (and pertinent roles) are signed up to the NHS Digital Cyber Alerts</li> <li>JUCD Cyber Security Subgroup does not have dedicated resource to enable it to maintain system oversight and co-ordinate cyber activity and consistent levels of protection and learning.</li> </ul>
Threat 3 Contracts held by the ICB do not always contain the necessary controls to ensure appropriate cyber resilience for direct and sub-contracted suppliers.	<ul> <li>NHS Standard contract request production of the Business Continuity Plan for those providing services to/on behalf of the NHS</li> <li>Audit programme for produced BC Plans by the EPRR Team</li> <li>IAO data mapping process is in place to ensure data flows are monitored and appropriate protection in place.</li> </ul>	11T3.1C 11T3.2C	<ul> <li>BC Plans are produced however these are not fully audited at present; a process is now in place to review this.</li> <li>Not all contracts currently contain appropriate clauses including those for sub- contractors.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>EPRR Core Standards</li> <li>NHS Standard Contract</li> <li>Reviews of Digital and IG teams to ensure data appropriately managed and protected.</li> </ul>	11T3.1AS 11T3.2AS	<ul> <li>Delivery of system oversight assurance under Core Standard 53</li> <li>Embedding of skillsets within teams to understand and action the requirements.</li> </ul>

	Action ref	Action	Control/ Assurance Ref No	Action Owner	Due Date	Has work started?	<b>Committee level of assurance</b> (e.g. assured, partially assured, not assured)		
							Committee/Sub Group Assurance	Committee level of assurance	
<u>Threat 1</u> The system does not have a system wide cyber security plan and strategy in place nor therefore a clear	11T1.1A	Conduct system cyber event to update knowledge, identify gaps, map interdependencies and address actions to mitigate threats. Action plan to be held jointly by ICB Digital and EPRR teams and reported via Audit & Governance Committee and through Data & Digital Board.	11T1.4C	EPRR and Digital Leads	23/01/2025 (monthly meeting)	Yes	Audit and Governance Committee	Partially Assured	
understanding of all digital systems and processes in use and their potential	11T1.2A	Organisations to refresh their business continuity plans in light of the outcomes of the system event and to ensure inclusion of digital risks	11T1.2C	EPRR Leads	31/08/2025	Yes	Audit and Governance Committee		
	11T1.3A	Creation of an ICS Cyber Resilience task and finish group to drive forwards the cyber resilience and development of the Cyber Response (ICS Cyber Response CONOPS) arrangements for the system including interdependencies.	11T1.4C 11T1.1AS	EPRR and Digital Leads	23/01/2025	Yes	Audit and Governance Committee		
	11T1.4A	Assurance of commissioned providers process to be enacted during 2025 in relation to cyber resilience and business continuity	11T1.1C 11T1.3C	EPRR and Contracting	31/08/2025	Yes	Audit and Governance Committee		
<u>Threat 2</u> Cyber security is a complex and changing field,	11T2.1A	Confirmation that all organisations (and pertinent roles) are signed up to the NHS Digital Cyber Alerts	11T2.1C 11T2.1AS	Digital Lead	31/02/2025	Yes	Audit and Governance Committee		
with growing sophistication in the methods used by bad actors, with threats being	11T2.2A	Creation of an ICS Cyber Resilience task and finish group to drive forwards the cyber resilience and development of the Cyber Response arrangements for the system including interdependencies.	11T2.2C	EPRR and Digital Leads	23/01/2025	Yes	Audit and Governance Committee		
generated by Ransomware, Malicious Attacks, accidental IT incident	11T2.3A	D3B to ensure technical oversight of any ongoing or emergency risks, through technical design and/or any other associated sub groups- link into ICB/ICS Cyber Response Plan(s)	11T2.3C	Digital Leads	31/08/2025	Yes	Audit and Governance Committee		
	11T2.4A	Alignment of learning from incidents processes between EPRR and Digital	11T2.4C	EPRR and Digital Leads	31/02/2025	Yes	Audit and Governance Committee		
	11T2.5A	Head of Digital & IG to liaise with Joint Chief Digital Officer to identify how to address this gap.	11T2.4C	Digital Leads	31/02/2025	Yes	Audit and Governance Committee		

Threat 3 Contracts held by	11T3.1A	Assurance of commissioned providers process to be enacted during 2025 in relation	11T3.1C 11T3.2AS	EPRR Leads and Contracting	31/08/2025	Yes	Audit and Governance Committee	
the ICB do not always contain		to cyber resilience and business continuity						
the necessary controls to ensure	11T3.2A	Embedding of skillsets within teams to understand and action the requirements within contract management around IG, EPRR and	11T3.2AS	EPRR and Digital/IG team with Head of Contracting	31/08/2025	No	Audit and Governance Committee	
appropriate cyber resilience for		digital clauses.						
direct and sub- contracted suppliers.	11T3.3A	DSPT return completion this year will show what contracts we have in place and what assurance we have of contracts.	11T3.2C 11T3.1AS	Digital Leads and Contracting	31/08/2025	No	Audit and Governance Committee	